

# Will The Real “ALLAH”



“the god”  
**Stand Up?**

**2 Corinthians 4:4**

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman





## Other Materials Available



***By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman:***

- \* Bible Believer's Commentary Series
- \* Beginning and Advanced Bible Study Material
- \* In-Depth Apologetics
- \* Numerous Pamphlets on Selected Topics
- \* Variety of Gospel Tracts
- \* Audio Cassettes
- \* Video Cassettes

***Also Available:***

- \* AV 1611 Bibles
- \* Study Helps
- \* Concordances
- \* Biographies
- \* Evangelism Material
- \* Material by Other Authors and Speakers



*www.kjv1611.org*

*For FREE Current Catalog write:*

**BB BOOKSTORE**

P.O. Box 7135

Pensacola, FL 32534

# Will the Real "Allah" Please Stand Up?

By

**Peter S. Ruckman**

B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.

*President and Founder of  
Pensacola Bible Institute*

**BB BOOKSTORE**

P.O. Box 7135

Pensacola, FL 32534

Copyright © 2010 by Peter S. Ruckman  
All rights reserved

ISBN 1-58026-311-9

#### PUBLISHER'S NOTE

The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the *Authorized King James Version* of the Bible. Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage, retrieval system, multimedia, or Internet system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

# Table of Contents

Chapter	Page
1 Church-States .....	1
2 The Two Allahs .....	10
3 Jehovah, Jesus, and the Trinity .....	18
4 Will the Real Allah Stand Up? .....	24
5 Allah's Role Model .....	29
6 The Nameless God and Judgments .....	34
7 Global Unity and Nations .....	41
8 The Real Allah .....	61
9 God's Son Versus Allah's "Messenger" ...	68
10 Mohammed: The Fleishy Sex-Pot .....	78
11 A Summary of "Allah" and the <i>Koran</i> .....	97
12 Islam and Women .....	100
Epilogue .....	115



## Note to Reader

In this collection of articles originally intended for publication in the *Bible Believers' Bulletin*, Dr. Ruckman quotes extensively from the two works of Dr. Joseph Abd El Shafi: *Behind the Veil, Unmasking Islam* (Pioneer Book Company, Caney, Kansas, 1996) and *Behind the Veil Volume II, Unmasking Muhammad's Life*. The editorial staff of the Bookstore has done its best to identify and document these quotes. However, where we have been unable to do so, we wish to let the reader know that Dr. Ruckman does have the expressed, written permission of Dr. Shafi to freely quote his works given this notification. Also, the work *Women in Islam* by P. Newton and M. Rafiqul Haqq is quoted with permission from the publisher, The Berean Call, P.O. Box 7019, Bend, Oregon, 97708.



## Chapter 1

# Church-States

The most aggravating thing about the modern news media terminology is that it defies *definitions*. Its message escapes detection by being purposely *obscure and obtuse*. This shows up especially when anything religious is being presented by the press.

For example, overseas you have the *Vatican "State"*; that's not a *church* or a *religion*. You say, "How do you know?" Because it's called a "*State*," not a *church* or a *religion*. A *State* is not a *church*, and a *church* is not a *State*. But it is in the exceptional (and counterfeit) case of the Vatican "*State*."

Did you ever read or hear all of that garbage that the TV, radio, and "dailies" put out about maintaining "*separation of Church and State*" in America? All of it is hogwash and hog slop.

*Prayer* has nothing to do with *any* State-Church. *Bible reading* or prayer in school is not connected with *any* church or *any* State. It's connected with God. The very idea of saying you can't carry a Bible to school! What "church" would you be representing by carrying a *Bible* to any school? A Jewish synagogue? *The Jews* have a "Bible"—the Old Testament. Com'on! What "church" would you be representing? A *Baptist* church? The *Methodist* and the *Presbyterians* read and carry Bibles. So do *Catholics* and *Lutherans*. What would *Bible reading* have to do with setting up a *Church-State* unless it was a *Catholic Church-State*? A *Moslem Church-State* would read a *Koran*.

How does allowing prayer by children turn a "State" into a "church"? Are you kidding? When did any State-Church have a monopoly on prayer?

People pray by commodes in bathrooms; they pray in shower rooms and locker rooms. They pray on buses, cars, subways, and airplanes. Is that a "State" forming in the locker room or the shower?

People *pray* on drill fields, they pray in combat, they pray in their homes, they pray in hurricanes, they pray in automobiles, and they ask God to "bless the food" in restaurants. What in the "name of Allah" does *PRAYER* have to do with a "Church-State"? Absolutely nothing.

Now what the "State" wants to raise in America is *atheists*. The way you can teach *atheism*—and thereby set up a federal religious State of *atheists*—is by forbidding Bible reading, prayer, and witnessing in the schools. That way you teach the kids *atheism*. Atheism, by the way, is recognized as a *religion*. There is nothing "anti-religious" about any evolutionist or atheist. They have religious convictions you wouldn't believe; they're just not connected with a *personal God*. Even atheism is a "Church-State" if the State supports it. Atheism is just a *religion*.

Then the closest thing to a "Church-State" in America is the NEA—our modern educational system where the religion is *atheism*, plainly disguised as *humanism*. The polite term for "atheism" is "humanism." The terminology is purposely obtuse and obscure so you can't know what is being discussed.

The Supreme Court has already defined "humanism" as a *religion* (I've got the stuff right here where they made the statement, when they made it, and the judges who agreed with it). Humanism and atheism is what is taught in every public school in America "year 'round." That is NOT "separation of Church and State," if by "church" you mean a "*religion*."

Now, nowhere does one find these kind of semantics more confounding and stupefying than when one picks up some peculiar heading in a newspaper which talks about “the Islamic *Nation*.” Islam is NOT a *nation*. It has never been a *nation*. Look it up in a dictionary.

You can have Islamic “*nations*” with State-Churches. In these cases, the nation (or country) is the State (political), and the church is Islam (a religion). So if you taught in the schools anything that Moslems believe, you would be “joining Church and State.” All Islamic nations are Church-States. But if you pray or read the Bible, you wouldn’t be joining anything to anything.

Now you see why educated people go to College? They go so they can learn how to confuse issues. They do it by lying and calling lies “double speak” or “buzz words.” That’s how it’s done.

What is “THE Islamic *Nation*”? It isn’t anything on the face of this earth.

Now, Libya is “an” Islamic *nation*. Arabia is “an” Islamic *nation*. Iraq and Iran are Islamic “*nations*.” Afghanistan, Morocco, and Algeria are all Islamic “*nations*.” But there is no “Islamic *NATION*” on the planet.

You say then, “Why do they keep lying like that?” Because the *King James Bible* showed them how to lie years after the “original manuscripts” of the New Testament taught them how to lie. The “original” New Testament manuscripts were written more than 400 years before Mohammed was even born.

In the Gospel according to Matthew, you are told that there was a *nation* named “Israel”; it was a Church-Nation—a “Church-State.” The religious Levites were government public judges. You’ll find that in Ezra 7:1–6, 25 and Deuteronomy 18:1–8, 17:1–13. A King like David was not just a King, he was a *prophet* and a *priest*. (1 Sam. 23:9; 2 Sam. 24:18). The original “Church-State”

was *Israel*, set up 1,700 years before the Catholic and Moslem "nations" showed up. Even then, Israel wasn't a "State" until the children of Israel were drawn out of Egypt (1500 B.C.) and they became a *nation* instead of a "family" (Exod. 1:1–7). That *nation* began with Moses. He became a lawgiver (Exod. 18:13–15). Moses was from the tribe of Levi; that tribe was the priest tribe. Moses became the ruler (Deut. 33:5) of a political State, with his LAWS—given to him by Jehovah—governing that STATE (nation).

What I'm doing here is defining for you the common meanings of third-grade English words where any fool could interpret them, but very few people are going to "get it" because I'm dealing with facts. Until Israel came out of Egypt (1500 B.C.), the people were a *family*. Jacob and his twelve sons and his grandsons *were not a nation*. They weren't called "*the nation of Israel*." They weren't said to be a "**nation**" until Exodus 19:6, where God called them out and said, "If you want to be a '**holy nation**,' you must subscribe to what I just wrote on those tablets—the Ten Commandments." *That's* when the Jews became a Church-State—a Church-Nation (Exod. 19:5–6). The Bible doesn't give it a name, but it does say that Israel as a people (Israelites) formed a "**holy nation**." It would be a *kingdom*, or State, of priests, so there it is: *a nation run by a religion*.

Later on, that religion is called "Judaism." Sometimes it's called "Zionism." They have different words for it, but that is when the *Church* was part of the State as a *nation*, with Kings controlling it. First and Second Kings deal with a religious "church" that had armies in it. Ditto Exodus, Joshua, and Judges. In the Old Testament, they are joined—Church and State. This is the record given by the Holy Spirit who inspired the Holy Bible.

By whom was this mythological "Islamic Nation" au-

thorized? Nobody anywhere in either Testament. By whom was the "Vatican-State" authorized? Nobody in either Testament.

Now you can see how the landscape gets a little clearer. In God's Book, God sets up only *one nation* as a theocracy ("theo"—God; theocracy like "democracy"). A theocracy is a form of government where it is more than just a "monarchy" with a King running it or a democracy where the people are supposed to be running it. (An "oligarchy" is where a small group of men run it.) A "theocracy" is a *national State*.

There are three main Church-States in the Twenty-first Century. *Two* of them have no authority from either Testament; they are 100% carnal and earthly. One of these is called "Zionism," which is based entirely on the Old Testament. It was authorized by God Almighty for the Jewish nation.

The second one is the Roman Catholic hierarchy, which is called a "State" (the Vatican State). It spent 1,500 years setting up Church-States in Poland, Portugal, Italy, Austria, Spain, Mexico, South America, Central America, and the Philippines. No State Church in any of those countries was ever authorized by God in either Testament.

When the New Testament comes along, the Lord temporarily set the Jewish theocracy aside and replaced it with absolutely nothing political, economic, material, or governmental. It was replaced, temporarily, with a spiritual kingdom. God called this unearthly kingdom "**the kingdom of God**"; it was "**not meat and drink; but righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost**" (Rom. 14:17). That kingdom is a *spiritual kingdom* with no Kings, no Monks, no holy cities, no Governors, no attachés, no Mayors, no Presidents, no secretaries, no altars, no feast days, and no special priesthood; it has

absolutely no "Justice Department" or "House of Representatives," no "Congress" or United Nations "Assembly." The Kingdom of God was a *spiritual kingdom* from the start.

Now here is the trouble; it is found in Matthew 21:43. There Jesus said, "I'll take that kingdom from a *nation* (Israel), and I'll give it to a *nation* '**bringing forth the fruits thereof.**'"

*What nation would that be?* Well, that's easy: it's "the Islamic Nation" according to an illiterate, epileptic military dictator! It's the "Nation of Islam." That's what the military dictator told his nine-year-old wife (Aisha)! According to this egomaniac (who practiced slavery and polygamy), God took the "**kingdom**" from the Jews and gave it to the Arabs.

Why, that's horse radish! Somebody is just trying to pull a tragic joke on you. That's the kind of a title the Three Stooges would think up. There is no such thing as a *single* "Islamic Nation." There are Islamic *nations*, but not a single *nation*. Jesus Christ said a "**NATION**"—not "nations." But the Moslems had to make it singular in order to steal the *political nation* from political Israel. But that nation was authorized by God in the Old Testament to be a "theocracy"; so if a theocracy was taken from the Jew (politically) and given to somebody, then who got it politically? Why, that's easy: *the Vatican*.

Didn't you ever hear of the *Vatican "State"*? It's just like the Islamic "Nation." Both of them are great big horse laughs, for they are just as anti-scriptural as Purgatory and Limbo.

Read your New Testament. Where do you find Peter, Silas, James, Paul, or John setting up any *political State or national church* like the Vatican State or the Islamic Nation? Where do you find them setting up a Church-State like the nation of Israel was?

Ever read the book of Acts? There you have church history recorded for nearly thirty years after the resurrection of Christ. In that time, the “Apostle to the Gentiles” (Rom. 11:13) doesn’t establish one “Church-State” in any “State” where he went. When Paul writes to the Colossians in Colosse (or the Ephesians in Ephesus, or the Galatians in Galatia, etc.), he never makes any attempt of any kind to set up any kind of a religious organization that would even be remotely connected with any form of political government—not one time in thirty years.

Then from where do you suppose this “Vatican State” and this “Islamic Nation” came? They didn’t come from either Testament. “The nation of Israel” didn’t come from the New Testament, because there are no government setups in the New Testament at all for Moslems or Christians or Jews.

Want to quit here? You might as well because there isn’t a news outlet in the world that knows what I just said; if they did, they wouldn’t dare print it.

There is no such thing as an “Islamic Nation.” Islam is a religious belief based on the lack of ability to deal with FACTS, and a perpetual ducking and dodging of basic problems.

Iraq is “an” Islamic nation, and never “*the*.” Afghanistan and Algeria and Morocco are Islamic *nations*—never *singular*. Turkey, Libya, Indonesia, and Pakistan are Islamic *nations*” (plural, never *singular*). There is no such thing as the definite article, singular, such as “THE God” or “THE Islamic Nation.”

On the other hand, you find a Roman Catholic “State” that’s a Church-State. The “Islamic Nation” is a reference to a Church-State, singular. Both those Church-States have imperialistic designs because they use the *singular* term instead of the plural.

Every year these crazy, cockeyed nuts in America



talk about getting prayer and the Bible out of the schools because it is not "separation of Church and State." That means you are dealing with the crookedest bunch of two-faced hypocrites who ever lied their way out of a hole.

"Prayer" and the "Bible" have nothing at all to do with *any* "Church-State." There is prayer in the Bible all through the book of Acts while nobody in the book of Acts was thinking of setting up a Church-State anywhere.

The very idea of thinking that if a kid prays in school the "State" is getting mixed up with the "Church"! What a thing, man! What church?

You said "State," singular, didn't you? What church? You said "State," singular, didn't you? You said "Church," *singular*, didn't you? What church? What State?

Don't Hindus pray? Don't Mohammedans pray? What are you talking about? "Church-State"—*singular*? You mean STATES? What are you talking about?

Why, they are saying that you must get rid of the Bible or you are a "Church-State." Why, people read the Bible in buses, taxi cabs, trolley cars, and airplanes. They study the Bible in the dining room, the bathroom, the kitchen, and the bedroom. They carry Bibles with them to work. They have them on their desks. How does *the Bible* join the "State" with the "Church"? What church? What State?

There is no State connected with any New Testament church. The only "Church-State" in the Bible is found in the Old Testament. It was the nation (*singular*) of Israel. Almighty God, who took them on as a "**holy nation,**" made them "**a kingdom of priests,**" so their rulers were prophets as well as Kings.

You get to the New Testament, and the "Church-State" vanishes completely. When you get into the New Testament, every Christian is a priest, and none of them are connected with any "State." After the completion of

the New Testament, the Roman Catholics become a Church-State. They are joined to a Vatican State.

Now, "do you see what I mean, jellybean?"

## Chapter 2

# The Two Allahs

Here are two Gods, and one of them can't tell you his name, so Moslems give their "God" *titles* and pretend those are his "*names*." But when they pray to him, they say "Allah." That isn't anybody's name. "Allah" simply means "the God."

Here is Mohammed telling you that "the God" of "**the holy scriptures**" (Rom. 1:2) is the same as "the God" of the Moslems, although he has a *different name*. In lying like this, he covers up by not giving "the God's" name. "The God" never gave it to him.

John Paul II said, "We worship the same god." Billy Graham said, "We worship the same god the Moslems worship. We just call him by a different name."

OK, *what is his name?* No Mohammedan ever gave you Allah's name. He just gave you his *title*: "the God."

But the Christian God gave the world His name twice. The first time was in the Old Testament where He was known as the ruler of Heaven and earth and the galaxies—the Almighty God who fills Heaven and earth. In that capacity, He said, "My name is '**I AM THAT I AM**'; tell them '**I AM**' has sent you" (see Exod. 3:14). When the same God showed up in the flesh in the New Testament, He said, "My name will be 'Jehovah saves' (Jesus), because I am the same Jehovah who spoke to Moses." That is what "**Jesus**" means: it means "Jehovah saves."

All right, back to Allah ("the God"). "What's your name, Allah?"

“My name is Allah.”

“I didn’t ask you that; I know you are ‘the god’ for the Moslems. I know that for the Moslems, you are ‘THE god’; I got that. I know that ‘there is no god but *the god*,’ yeah, but what is your *name*?”

“My name is Allah.”

“You know something, Allah? I’m having a little problem here in semantics with you. You see, I’ve been to kindergarten, and I’ve learned how to read. Now am I missing the ‘Arabic’ or what? I could have sworn you said ‘the god.’ Is that your name in Arabic: ‘the god’?”

“Yes! Allah is the God.”

“Thank you very much. Now, one more question, Allah: *what is your name*?”

Well, to quote the Three Stooges: “My name is Puddin-Tane; ask me again and I’ll tell you the same!”

The Moslem Imams say: “We recognize Moses and the *Torah* and the *Gospels*; we also recognize Jesus as the son of Mary.”

Not any Jesus or any Moses found in that Bible, you don’t. You’re lying like a Persian rug.

Now, I’ll prove my point. I’m going to give you both “Allahs” and pretend they are identical. I’m going to pretend that the God who got Moses out of Egypt, got Israel across the Red Sea, drowned the people in the days of Noah, created Adam and Eve, and gave birth to Jesus Christ, that that “Allah” is the one, true God—“the God.” Then to be politically and ecumenically “correct,” I’m going to pretend that “the God” that all Moslems worship is the same one because that’s what Mohammed said. I’m going to record for you exactly what these *two Gods* said (and did) so you can see how “close” they are together.

Now, among the many traditional sayings of Mohammed (the “Sunnahs”) is an interesting quotation found in the work by Zereph Gerock. This is a German book pub-

lished in 1839 (*Versuch einer Darstellung der Christologie, Des Koran*; this means, roughly, "a searching—an investigation—into the nature of Christology as found in the *Koran*."") The quotation is this—Mohammed is speaking in his usual humble form: "I am nearest to Jesus." (That is, John the apostle didn't make it in John 13:25.) "I am nearest to Jesus both as to the beginning and the end." (The beginning of what?) "For there is no prophet *between* me and Jesus. And at the end of time, He [Jesus] will be my representative and my successor."

Source? No source at all. Mohammed is just *talk-ing*, and that wasn't even a quotation from the *Koran*. That was just an old, illiterate, fornicating, epileptic killer shooting off his mouth.

Mohammed says that Jesus Christ will represent *him* at the end time. What did he mean as to "the beginning and the end"? The beginning and the end of *what*? He must have meant the beginning and the end of *time* because he said, "I am the nearest to Jesus both as to the beginning and the end, for there is no prophet *between me and Jesus, and at the end of time . . .*" Why, prophets are given in the Pauline Epistles (Eph. 4:11) *AFTER* Christ died. Look at Acts 21:10!

If he were talking about *proximity*, then John the apostle never got anywhere near Jesus Christ according to Mohammed. Why, here's John *lying on Christ's bosom* in John 13:25, and John has his ear three inches away from the heart beat of an eternal being who was "**Alpha and Omega**" (Rev. 1:8) and who was alive *before* Adam was made (Col. 1:17; John 1:1–2). But Mohammed was *nearer* to Jesus than that, did he?

You say, "Well, he meant nearest in time." No way "nearest in time"! For a prophet to be nearest to Jesus "in time" would have been at His beginning as a man. That would have been John the Baptist; John the Baptist was

His “forerunner.”

At this point, the famous God with no name claims that there are six prophets that a Moslem can “believe in,” and he calls these prophets “messengers.” In Sura 10:47 you read, “To every people was sent a messenger.” But Sura 4:80 says that if you obey ONE messenger (an Arab), you are obeying *the one, true God* (“Allah”)!

The New Testament never said one word about obeying *any* messenger except John the Baptist and God’s Son. But that God gave you His Son’s name, and it wasn’t Mohammed.

“Allah” then changed his mind about it in A.D. 600 and decided that his “messenger” wasn’t John the Baptist or Jesus; it was “*Isa*.” “*Isa*” is the Arabian word for “Esau.” This means that the “Allah” who wrote the New Testament in *Greek* and gave the Scripture by inspiration didn’t know how to spell “**Jesus**.”

You say, that was Arabic. Yes, but the “Allah” that talked about “*Isa*” failed to recognize that the “Allah” of Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John had written *Jesus* in *Greek* as *Iesous*, not “*Isa*,” so “*Isa*” must have been an advanced revelation? If it is, we really shouldn’t be calling Jesus “Jehovah saves”; we should be calling Him “*Isa*.”

“Allah,” in the Old Testament (if He was Jehovah), said one of his titles would be “**Immanuel**” (Isa. 7:14), meaning “**God with us**” (Matt. 1:23). When was Mohammed’s “Allah” *WITH* anyone? “**God with us**” was “**God . . . manifest in the flesh**” (see 1 Tim. 3:16; John 1:14).

Allah’s prophet in the *Koran* couldn’t ever be called “**Immanuel**.” That’s ridiculous. No Moslem believes that God was actually *with* mankind as a *man*, at least not according to the “Allah” that had “Gabriel” give Mohammed the *Koran*. But the “Allah” who inspired the prophet Isaiah said His name would not only be “**Jesus**,” but He

would be called **"Immanuel": "God with us."** Those two "Allahs" would have a difficult time getting together.

Now, when Moses' "Allah" wrote the Old Testament, He showed Moses how all of Noah's family got on the ark and were saved (see 1 Pet. 3:20). But when Mohammed's "Allah" had "Gabriel" dictate the *Koran* to Mohammed, "Allah" had one of Noah's sons drowned (Sura 11:42-43).

You can only presume that when "Allah" wrote Genesis 6-9 via His prophet Moses—who could not err and was sinless (Sura 40:55, notes 1535, 2575; 19:14, notes, 1763)—that He must have made an error because He said all three of Noah's sons got saved. According to the "Allah" of Islam, one of them didn't; he drowned. Which Allah lied?

Tell me something, "Allah": "What was the name of Abraham's *father*?" Well, the name of his father was **"Terah"** according to . . . ? According to a sinless prophet (Moses) who was saved from all error and never disobeyed God (Sura 40:55, notes 2194, 1535). That's what Moses wrote (Gen. 11:27). He said that Abraham's father was **"Terah."** Since Moses couldn't possibly err (Sura 19:14, note 1535), his "Allah" must have really messed up good *later* (A.D. 600), because later on, when Mohammed showed up, "Allah" suddenly decided that Abraham's father was a man named "Azar" (Sura 6:74).

What happened? Well, for goodness sake, what sane man wouldn't know what happened? "Allah" realized he had made a mistake (1500 B.C.) and corrected himself with the *Koran* (A.D. 600), after stating that Moses was one of his prophets and therefore sinless and pure and "never disobeyed God."

In **"the holy scriptures,"** Abraham didn't just have two sons; he had eight (Gen. 25:2, 9). Abraham didn't just have two wives; he had three (Gen. 25:1, 10, 12).



But in the Suras, Abraham had only two sons and only two wives (see Sura 11:71–72, 14:39, 37:100–112)! That's what "Allah" said in the days of Mohammed (A.D. 600), *not* what He said when Moses wrote (1500 B.C.).

Further, "**the holy scriptures**" tell you in the Old Testament that Isaac was offered up in Genesis 22, but Gabriel told Mohammed that it was *Ishmael* who was offered up (Sura 37:100–112). Face it like an adult: undoubtedly "Allah" is one of the most two-faced, double-tongued, inconsistent "gods" whoever lied his way through history.

According to "Allah" in the *Koran*, Moses was adopted by Pharaoh's *wife* (Sura 28:8). In "**the holy scriptures**," Allah had just said that Moses was adopted by Pharaoh's *daughter* (Exod. 2:5–10).

"Allah" said that one of Pharaoh's friends in Exodus was *Haman* (Sura 28:5, 7). But the same "Allah" (supposedly!) also said that Haman didn't live until a thousand years later at the time of the book of Esther.

We've only begun to study "Allah." Allah said that he appeared to Moses in a fire in "the valley of Tuwa" (Sura 20:12), so Gabriel said Allah made a mistake when he had Moses write down Exodus 3:1–2 about God appearing to him in "**Horeb**," "**the mountain of God**."

This godless garbage goes right on in the *Koran*. After Exodus 32:3–4 told you that Aaron made a golden calf, Gabriel *corrected* Moses and Aaron (1,500 years later) and said Aaron *didn't* build it at all; a "Samaritan" made it (Sura 20:87, 95). Now which one of these "Allahs" is telling the truth, and which one is a confused liar?

The "Allah" who wrote the New Testament said that Jesus Christ was born in a *manger* in a *stable*. The "Allah" who supposedly wrote a book somewhere (that nobody ever saw but Gabriel) had Mohammed teach that Jesus was born under a *palm tree* (Sura 19:21–26).

Here's "Allah" again somewhere around A.D. 600. The *Koran* has Jesus fashioning *little clay birds and pigeons*; then He breathes into them and they fly away (Sura 3:49)! But that godless mess didn't come from Mohammed or Gabriel; it can be found in "Thomas' First Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus Christ," which anyone can read in a collection called *The Lost Books of the Bible*. I've read that account to my students in Church History every year for 42 years. It was written centuries before Mohammed was even born.

We have only begun digging in the manure pile. The "Allah" of the New Testament said the Holy Spirit was the Lord *Himself* (2 Cor. 3:17; John 14-16); He is the spiritual presence of God's Son in the believer (1 Cor. 12:13; Col. 1:27; John 14-16). But the "Allah" of the *Koran* said the Holy Spirit was: 1) God's breath (Sura 15:29), and [at the same time] 2) the Holy Spirit was the angel Gabriel (Sura 19:17), and again 3) Divine inspiration (Sura 16:2).

The "Allah" of the New Testament said the Holy Spirit was the third person of the Trinity (Matt. 28:19; 1 John 5:7) and was no more connected with "Gabriel" than Moses was connected to Pontius Pilate.

The Holy Spirit in "**the holy scriptures**" gave the inspired Scripture (2 Pet. 1:21), became the companion of Christian believers (John 14:16), convicts of sin (John 16:7-9), is the heavenly gift (Acts 10:45), is the seal of God's approval in the believer (Eph. 4:30), is the downpayment on His inheritance (Eph. 1:13-14), is the baptizer of believers (1 Cor. 12:13), is the One who could fill believers (Eph. 5:18), is the giver of special gifts (1 Cor. 12:7-11), and is the producer of spiritual fruits in believers (Gal. 5:22-23). Gabriel wasn't even an afterthought with any New Testament writer.

But by far, the most interesting things about these

two "Allahs" is the mass of materials one of them lost somewhere after he claimed to have written it; he couldn't even make any comments on it after supposedly having written it. In the entirety of the *Koran*, there isn't one mention of anyone reigning on earth for a thousand years *before* the "Last Day" or the "Day of Judgment." Allah failed to notice the main theme of the Bible: the Second Advent of God's Son, which is not within 900 years of the *Koran's* "Last Day."

## Chapter 3

# Jehovah, Jesus, And the Trinity

We are trying to find out the “real” God, since Allah called himself “the God” (that’s what *Allah* means: “the God”). “The God” and Father of Jesus Christ, who was the “God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob,” called Himself “**JEHOVAH.**” “**JEHOVAH**” was “THE God’s” *name* in the Old Testament; “**Jesus**” was “THE God’s” *name* in the New Testament. But the moon god of Mohammed had no name; he never gave it out to anyone. Moslems just gave him titles and attributes. They did not give you his name because he didn’t have one.

One time, Moses asked Jehovah, “What is your *name*? Tell me so that when I go down to Egypt and my people ask me, ‘Who is this God you saw and what was His *name*,’ I can tell them.” God answered and said, “My name is ‘**I AM THAT I AM.**’” (That’s the English meaning for *Jehovah*: “**I AM THAT I AM.**”) Moses said, “Well, that’s a funny name.” And the Lord said, “That may be funny, but that’s my name.”

You know what God said about Himself before Exodus 6:3? He said that He was “**the most high**” (Gen. 14:18–22) and “**God**” (*Elohim*!). That title was given to Him by most Gentiles before and after the Law (see Gen. 14; Dan. 1–6). “*Elohim*” could be translated as “God” or “gods” (the word is plural in Hebrew). But in Exodus

6:3, God says to Moses, "Now that you are about to produce a *national theocracy* that I am going to run—a Church-State—I'm going to give you my *name*. My *name* is 'I AM.' You go down and tell the children of Israel 'I AM' sent you." That was His name from Exodus 3:14.

Then the same God shows up on this earth as a man in 4 B.C. to deal with not just a nation, but all mankind (see Luke 2:10). Now He says, "My name will be 'Jehovah saves': 'I am that I am, who saves.'" That is two names. The Old Testament name was a name for God as a holy Spirit (John 4:24), filling Heaven and earth—omnipotent, omnipresent, omniscient. When He showed up on this planet, His name was a *man's name*—"Jesus," just as you find it in Mexico and South America. That is "**the most high**" appearing as a *man* who is "Jehovah who saves"; that is what the word "**Jesus**" means.

This explains why Allah couldn't tell Gabriel or Mohammed what his own name was. "Allah" never was a *man*, so technically speaking, he wouldn't know anything about what men go through. He never had any firsthand experience as a *human being*.

If you had Allah "on the carpet" right now (the one found in the *Koran*) and asked him what it felt like to be hungry or thirsty, cursed and spit upon, whipped and beaten, or slain, he couldn't tell you anything because he has had no first-hand experience (cf. Heb. 2:9, 5:7-9). When a Moslem calls Allah "*all-knowing*," he has a real problem there which he cannot discuss. You see, there has always been a great *difference* between knowing about something from a distance and knowing something as a result of going through it. Did you know that?

**"Who in the days of his flesh, when he had offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto him that was able to save him from death, and was heard in that he feared; Though he**

were a Son, yet learned he obedience by the things which he suffered; And being made perfect, he became the author of eternal salvation unto all them that obey him" (Heb. 5:7-9)

I know *that* profound truth is much too deep for any Moslem—he wouldn't dare even *think* about it. But you may as well face it, it's the truth.

One time the Lord said, "I'm going down there to Sodom and see what's going on down there '**according to the cry**' that's '**come unto me**'" (Gen. 18:21). Another time He said, "I think I'll go over to the Tower of Babel and get in there and see what they're doing before I mess them up" (Gen. 11:5). When God decided to judge every sinner on this earth for the sins *they* committed as men, He said to the Devil, "I'm going to know *firsthand*, of the things about which you're talking and you're never going to know about them, no matter how much experience you've had in dealing with men. I'll be the Judge, and when I *judge*, I'll never make an error in judgment, because the sinners I'm going to judge are going to be just like me as far as suffering goes: suffering, temptation, pain, sorrow, and death" (Heb. 2:9-18, 5:7-9).

Allah could never "make the grade" as a judge of any sinner. He wouldn't die for anybody even if he was "the merciful" and the "all knowing." Your Bible says that "**God is love**" (1 John 4:8). Such a revelation doesn't occur anywhere in the *Koran*. Allah wouldn't dare make that statement about himself anywhere in the *Koran* because he knew he couldn't prove it (see John 15:13). It would just be pious rhetoric.

The God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob could say about His own nature that "**yet learned he obedience by the things which he suffered**" (Heb. 5:8). That was God "**in the flesh**" (1 Tim. 3:16). That's why John said, "**Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he**

loved us, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins” (1 John 4:10).

Allah had no son, so he couldn't really demonstrate God's love to any Moslem—or anyone else—as a Saviour and Redeemer. The “Allah” of the *Koran* was absolutely helpless. Our God has *proved* that He loved us, and He has proven it beyond the shadow of a doubt.

“We love him, because he first loved us” (1 John 4:19) and “God . . . sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins,” see? There's that *third-grade* English again that messes everything up. This is one God: Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. All Moslems say that is *three gods*. Mohammed said that because he was a Bible-rejecting, epileptic, illiterate, fornicating sinner without a spiritual bone in his body.

Water has *three manifestations*. The same bucket of water can be ice (a solid), water (a liquid), or steam (a vapor) and still be the same bucket with the same thing in it—nothing added and nothing taken away. Mohammed didn't know that because he was spiritually (and innately) *stupid*. You don't have to be educated to be that stupid; you can be *born that way*.

Anybody with half an ounce of sense knows perfectly well that the sun has three rays: *light rays* that you can see but you can't feel, *heat rays* that you can feel but you can't see, and *actinic rays* that you can neither see nor feel. The sun is not three suns. That's Mohammed and the Three Stooges telling you that you believe in *three gods* if you believe that Jesus Christ was “**God . . . manifest in the flesh.**” That's the four Marx Brothers. That's some lying rascal just talking like a fool.

Paul says Mohammed could see the “**Godhead**” (the Trinity) by looking up over his head (Rom. 1:20). Mohammed must have lived all his life looking at *the ground*.

The *soul* of God is the *Father*—you can't see Him



and you can't feel Him. God's *body* is *Jesus Christ*, whom you can see and hear and touch (see 1 John 1:1). God's *Spirit* is the *Holy Spirit*, whom you can feel but cannot see and cannot touch. That is three manifestations of one God; all three are only one God.

Mohammed accused Matthew, Mark, Luke, Peter, John, and Paul of worshipping *three Gods* and said if they believed that God had a Son they all went to Hell (Sura 4:171, 21:98). That's exactly what Billy Sunday and Dwight L. Moody and all their converts believed. That's what John Wesley believed, and so did John Knox and George Whitefield and all their converts. That's what Martin Luther believed, plus Gen. William Booth and Billy Graham and all their converts. They all went to Hell according to Mohammed because they had enough sense to know that water can occur as steam, liquid, and solid and still be the same bucket of water.

No Moslem ever grasped that obvious fact which any six-year-old could SEE with his eyes. You say, "How can that be?" I don't know, but evidently all Sheiks, Caliphs, and Imams don't have a brain in their heads. If they tried to blow out their brains, they wouldn't be able to find any to blow out.

For example, look at this "common-place," Twenty-first-Century FACT. A soccer ball has a leather cover; that's the "body." Inside, it has an inner tube shaped like the "body" that you can't see; that's the "soul." That inner tube is filled with air, and that's the "spirit." It is not three separate soccer balls to anybody but an "Islamic Moslem." It is three manifestations of one soccer ball.

Now any six-year-old could get that, but not Mohammed! Somewhere along the line, he lost his marbles in dealing with God and spiritual things and got to where he couldn't think. Here is Mohammed at his wisest and most spiritual state.

“That sure is a beautiful dog you got there, brother; what’s *the name* of it?”

“He’s ‘the dog.’”

“Well, I can see that he’s a dog, but what’s his name?”

“The dog.”

“You call him ‘the dog’? Why do you call him that?”

“Because there are no other dogs.”

“Well, that’s funny. I’ve seen several dozen dogs in all kinds of places. Your neighbor next door has two dogs. He told me their *names* were Rover and Lassie.”

“Well, good. My dog’s *name* is ‘THE DOG’.”

“Why, that’s ridiculous! You haven’t got the only dog on the block.”

“Yes, I do. There is no dog but ‘The Dog,’ and I am his prophet!” (*the Holy Shahada*)

The Holy Bible calls Israeli judges **“gods”** (John 10:34) and fallen angels **“gods”** (Psa. 82:6–7) and Satan **“the god [“Allah”] of this world”** (2 Cor. 4:4). The Holy Bible says further that **“gods”** are going to be run out of the universe (Jer. 10:11) because none of them are **“THE GOD”** (see Isa. 45:5, 14, 46:9) of *Israel* (Isa. 41:14, 17, 20, 43:3, 14, 44:21).

## Chapter 4

# Will the Real Allah Stand Up?

The truth is that **“there be gods many”** (1 Cor. 8:5). That has been in print in 160 different languages since 1611. The originals were in writing for more than eighteen centuries. In the Twenty-first Century, it is written in third-grade English or second-grade Greek or first-grade Arabic. Anybody could understand it unless they were demon possessed.

Since all of this will be 90% obscure to all news media outlets, the best thing to do is to compare the god of the Moslems with the God of the Bible-believing Christians. Mohammed and Billy Graham said they were one and the same God. The Pope claimed that “the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob” was the god of Mohammed. That means the Jesus Christ Mohammed gave honor to (and “recognized”) *never existed*.

The Jesus Christ of that Bible said that His Father wasn't “Allah” at all; it was **“JEHOVAH”** (Exod. 6:3). Mohammed said “Allah” never had a son. There isn't one Moslem in the “Islamic Nation” who believes that any God ever gave birth to *any* Son. But **“the holy scriptures”** said that God gave birth to His Son (Luke 2:49).

Do you know who quoted John 3:16? Why, it was Jesus Christ Himself on the rooftop talking to Nicodemus. You know who it was who said: **“He that hath seen me hath seen the Father”** (John 14:9)? It was Jesus Christ

talking to the twelve disciples. Do you know who it was who said to the blind man: **“Dost thou believe in the Son of God?”** When the cured blind man asked **“Who is he, Lord, that I might believe on him,”** Jesus said, **“You’re looking right at Him”** (John 9:35–36).

That couldn’t have been Allah’s “son”; the *Koran* said that Allah *never had a son*. The *Koran* says that if you believe that “Allah” had a son, then you are damned to Hell; you are going to burn. Want the references? They are Sura 4:171, 6:101, 9:30, 10:68, 17:111, 18:4, 19:88–89, 92, 112:3. Why don’t you buy a copy of the *Koran* in your language and READ it. Those verses will be found in every copy of the *Koran* that’s ever been printed.

No Moslem can believe that “THE GOD” had a son. But “THE GOD” (Allah) of the Bible had a Son and said: **“Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten thee”** (Psa. 2:7; Acts 13:33; Heb. 1:5, 5:5). You say, “Who was that?” Why, that was “Allah”: that was “*THE God*.”

This brings us back to the major theme of our work: total confusion. How come the Moslems’ “*the God*” can’t tell you his *name*?

You say, “His name is ‘*the God*’.” (The word *Allah* means “the God.”)

Excellent, now what’s his *name*?

“Well, he’s the merciful, the magnificent, the wonderful . . . .”

No, I didn’t ask you for *attributes* or *titles*; I want his name. What is his *NAME*?

Watch it carefully, stupid; let’s go back to the third grade again. Jesus Christ is called **“the way, the truth, and the life”** (John 14:6), **“the door”** (John 10:9), **“the good shepherd”** (John 10:11), **“the bread of life”** (John 6:35), **“the light of the world”** (John 8:12), the **“Alpha and Omega”** (Rev. 1:8), and **“the beginning and the ending”** (Rev. 1:8). But those are not *names*; those are

titles. His name was *JESUS*.

Want to try it again in third-grade or first-grade *Greek*? Isaiah 9:6 calls Him **"The Prince of Peace," "The everlasting Father," "The mighty God."** He's called **"the true God"** (1 John 5:20), **"Master"** (Matt. 19:16), **"Lord"** (Matt. 20:31), **"Lord God"** (Rev. 19:6), **"teacher"** (John 3:2), **"that prophet"** (John 1:21, 25; Acts 3:22–23), etc.

None of these are His NAME (Phil. 2:9). What's His *name*?

Well, let's take the Moslem's god.

"What's your *name*?"

"The god." (That's what "Allah" means—"the God.")

"You are 'the god'?"

"Yeah. No other one."

"Good, what's your *name*?"

Not a squeak; not a sound.

Paul said to a bunch of Greek dumb-bunny philosophers: "You worship an unknown god." When he declared **"THE UNKNOWN GOD"** (Acts 17:23); they didn't know his *name*. Do you know what he declared? Well, Paul didn't open his mouth about any God called "Allah"; he talked about Allah's *Son* judging the people to whom he was talking.

**"Because he [God] hath appointed a day, in the which he will judge the world in righteousness by that man whom he hath ordained; whereof he hath given assurance unto all men, in that he hath raised him from the dead [unlike Mohammed]"** (Acts 17:31).

What's His *name*? **"Thou shalt call his name JESUS"** (Matt. 1:21). **"For there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved"** (Acts 4:12).

"What's your God's *name*, Thomas?"

"Oh, He's '**my Lord and my God**' (John 20:28)."

"Is he, Thomas? Well, then, what is His *name*?"

"**Thou . . . shalt call his name JESUS**" (Luke 1:31), for "**at the name of Jesus every knee should bow . . . and that every tongue should confess that JESUS CHRIST is Lord, to the glory of God the Father**" (Phil. 2:10–11).

That God couldn't have been "Allah," for Allah never was a "father." He didn't begat anybody.

What we are trying to find out here is so simple and apparent that a moron could find it without looking for it. *We've got two different "Allahs" here. They both call themselves "THE GOD." They both call themselves "THE LORD." They are both known as "God Almighty" or "the most high," but one of them won't give you his name for some reason. I wonder what it could be?*

Now, Billy Graham and the Pope said "the God" of the Christians is the same God as the god of the Moslems. Pope John Paul II and Billy Graham both said "Amen" to that, while the Pope was kissing the *Koran*. I've got the pictures right here. Wouldn't it be interesting to put these two gods alongside one another and then see (according to what they *said*) if they are the *same God*? So that is what we are going to do.

*Will the real Allah please stand up?*

If the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob is "*the God*" (quoting "Allah") and "*the God*" of the Islamic Nation, then surely they would be in agreement, you'd think. Let us just see if they are, shall we?

Now, from here on, do you know what I am going to do? I am going to call the God of Abraham "*Allah*." I'm also going to call the God of Moses, Jacob, and Isaac "*Allah*." I'm going to call the God who made Adam and Eve "*Allah*." I will also call the God who got *Noah* through the Flood and got the *Jews* out of Egypt "*Al-*

lah"—meaning "THE GOD." Then I'm going to take "the same God" ("Allah"—the God of Islam) and show you what he said about *beating up your wives*, Satan living *in your nose* at night, fornicating with *nine-year-old girls*, *drinking camel's urine*, and *killing Jews, Christians, Hindus, Buddhists*, and humanists.

Let's see how much these two "Allahs" have "in common." According to their worshippers, *both* are all-Knowing, all Merciful, all-Mighty, etc., *though one of them has no name.*



## Chapter 5

# Allah's Role Model

Here is the beginning of a real horror story, for here is "Allah" (*according to Mohammed, Billy Graham, and the Popes*) about to beget a *SON*. Contrary to the entire *Koran*, "Allah" said He would beget a Son (Psa. 2:7) whom He would set on the throne of David in Jerusalem (Psa. 2:6).

**"He shall be great, and shall be called the Son of the Highest: and the Lord God shall give him the throne of his father David"** (Luke 1:32).

And when "Allah" wrote the New Testament and inspired His "servant" (*the Apostle John*), He gave him the *length* of time His Son would reign—*1,000 years*. That would take place before the "Last Day"—or "the Day of Judgment" (Rev. 20).

The "Allah" who put the *Koran* together didn't know anything about *either of these things* and omitted both of them *completely* throughout 114 chapters. He never even *mentioned* them.

There are stranger things about the New Testament "Allah." For example, "Allah" said that his "Apostle" to the Gentiles was *Paul* (Rom. 11:13, 15:16–20). But you see, all of the Arabians were "**Gentiles**"; so also were all the "Palestinians" and all the Moslems who lived in Libya, Morocco, Syria, Egypt, Indonesia, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and every place else: they are all "Gentiles." According to the "Allah" who wrote the New Testament, the "Apostle" to the Moslems was not Mohammed; it was *Paul*. In no

way could you convert Paul into Mohammed or Mohammed into Paul. *Paul was an orthodox Jew.*

The Allah ("the God") who wrote the New Testament (Heb. 4:12–13; 2 Tim. 3:16) said that He *begat* a Son and said He was "**well pleased**" in His Son (Matt. 3:17). He told all of the apostles to listen to *His Son* (Matt. 17:5).

But! Oh, my God! the "Allah" of the *Koran* said that if you believed that "Son bit," *you'd burn in Hell* (Sura 3:10; 3:3, note 381; 72:23; 22:54–57; 9:30; 7:44–47; 18:102, 106). You will burn in Hell; you are "fuel for the fire" if you even *suggest* that Allah had a Son (Sura 112:3) or that *Paul* was Allah's apostle.

*Will the real Allah please stand up?* The one who wrote the New Testament or the one who wrote the *Koran*? Or more properly, which one inspired "**holy men of God**" [2 Pet. 1:21] to speak "**as they were moved by the Holy Ghost,**" and gave the Scriptures to Peter, James, John, and Paul by inspiration? Did the *God* of Abraham, Moses, and Paul use a *600-winged angel* to recite a book to an illiterate, epileptic, fornicating *Jew-killer*, which book contradicts everything He had told Abraham, Moses, and Paul?

No *Koran* ever showed up in print anywhere until *after* Mohammed was dead. He claimed to be talking about it, but he said that every word in it was given to him by an angel (Sura 19:19, note 1537). So did *Joseph Smith* who got his information from "Moroni," and so did *Friedrich Nietzsche* who got his information from "Zarathustra."

The "Allah" of the New Testament made some interesting comments about that kind of claptrap in Colossians 2:18—"**Let no man beguile you of your reward in a voluntary humility and worshipping of angels, intruding into those things which he hath not seen, vainly**

**puffed up by his fleshly mind.”**

Now, the “Allah” of the *Koran* has a funny way of judging people at the “Last Judgment.” He has no absolute standard. The standard is not given. The Allah who wrote the New Testament said God is going to judge the world by *one standard*, and it is a *man*, and He *names* the man (Acts 17:31; Rom. 2:16; Phil. 2:9–11).

This *man* obviously qualifies to be the absolute standard set up for all *men* because He was a *man* Himself (Heb. 2:9–14; Psa. 22; Isa. 53). Having been born, raised, suffered, bled, and died as *man*, He alone is capable of knowing exactly what a *man* has to go through.

The “Allah” of the *Koran* couldn't even GUESS what it would be like to be a human being. The “Allah” of the *Koran* never was a *man* to experience anything that any *man* experiences; neither was the angel who claimed to recite the *Koran*. Allah's “judgment,” if he judged any sinner, would be a *secondhand judgment*, and it couldn't be based on any absolute standard. *Mohammed failed to keep his own standard* (Sura 53:2, note 2372).

The *man* who supposedly gave the Arabic people the *Koran* wouldn't have been a “role model” for any decent man on the face of this earth; in the Bible, Jesus Christ was the standard. Mohammed's own biographers picture him as a “slave of passion”; his own wives testify against him by saying that he loved perfume and sex and good food more than he loved Allah or the *Koran*. He shackled up with a nine-year-old girl who brought her “dollies” to the place where he lived. He also married his own daughter-in-law and then claimed the 600-winged angel gave him permission to do it by giving him a few more convenient “Suras” that backed up his lusts. Then Mohammed said that anybody who didn't believe what *he* believed *should be killed* (*Behind the Veil*, Vol. II, Joseph Abd El Schafi).

If the Arabian "Allah" said, "Ruckman, that sinner is going to judge you," I'd tell him to throw the bum out. I wouldn't hire an immoral killer like that to clean up my front yard.

You say, "That's a pretty strong statement."

That's a very moderate statement. Why would any civilized man with a normal education want a fornicator like that around his house or his family? Here is a sex-obsessed neurotic who believed in shacking up with fourteen wives and eleven concubines while going to bed with his daughter-in-law and a nine-year-old girl. He believed in killing Jews and Christians, and he justified it by an unseen 600-winged angel who served a god with *no name*. And you believe that *that* god will judge *anybody* at the "Last Judgment" by using *Mohammed* as a standard? Why, there are in this country (and as many in European countries) 50,000,000 people who would slap his mouth shut if he even *suggested it*. As a pious, religious, "godly" man, Mohammed was a miserable, *immoral* wreck.

So what is the *standard* by which Allah is going to judge sinners? *It can't be Mohammed*. If Mohammed could get to Paradise, then any blood-thirsty sex maniac could get there. But the "Allah" of the New Testament had *one absolute standard*. The life of this "standard" demonstrated the standard that all Moslems will have to "live up to." The "Allah" of the New Testament said His own Son was His "**righteousness**"—"God's **righteousness**" (Rom. 10:3-4). God's absolute standard was a man (Rom. 10:3-10, 3:21-22; Phil. 3:9). *Your righteousness* would have to "**exceed the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees**" (Matt. 5:20 cf. Phil. 2:3-7), or you'd never get into any "**kingdom**" if it came. The righteousness of the Pharisees—any of them—would exceed Mohammed's righteousness (see Phil. 3:4-6) about ten to one in ANY area. Like Mohammed, they were "monothe-

ists"; like Mohammed, they abstained from pork; and like Mohammed, they all rejected the Deity of Christ.

That isn't all. Like Mohammed, they condemned to perdition anybody who believed in Jesus' Deity (1 Thess. 2:15). As far as "righteousness" goes, they'd put any Moslem in the shade, and they weren't even saved (Matt. 23:15).

## Chapter 6

# The Nameless God And Judgments

What we're trying to find out here is what in the world is going on when we have two different "Allahs" *both accusing each other of lying and blasphemy*. Here are two different "Allahs" *insisting* that the other "Allah" is a liar, so they give out with two different "holy" texts that contradict each other in at least 100 places.

Our purpose in comparing these two "Allahs" is to show you the remarkable task that the Popes and the UN have set up for themselves by trying to unite all men under one "God" when two of them declare each other to be blasphemous liars.

The God of the Old Testament gives His name very clearly (Exod. 6:3), and it's not "*Elohim*." "*Elohim*" simply means "God" or "gods." That isn't any God's *name*. "*Elohim*" says to Moses (his prophet), "My name is '**JEH-OVAH,**' and if you want to tell anybody who I am you tell them '**I AM THAT I AM.**'"

In the New Testament, when Jehovah ("**I AM**"), the eternal God, shows up on earth as a *man*, He says, "Now my name will be 'Jehovah saves.' I'm here as a human being to save you. So my *name* is '**Jesus.**'"

But when Mohammed's Allah showed up—oops! *He never showed up!* Well, when he spoke—oops! *He never spoke.* Gabriel gave the whole *Koran* to Mohammed; Allah didn't give him a word of it.

Well, sir, when Allah (even though he didn't speak

and couldn't "show up") finally revealed his "name." He claimed that his name was "the God," which is no one's "name" unless it's "**the god of this world**" (2 Cor. 4:4).

"**The god of this world** (2 Cor. 4:4) is not an Arabian god. "**The god of this world** (2 Cor. 4:4) is the god of all seven continents, and he has a name. "The God" of the Bible ("Allah") gave the name of "**the god of this world**" as "**Satan**." "**The god of this world**" (2 Cor. 4:4) is called "**Satan**" in Job 1-2 and Luke 4:5-8. That is "Allah" ("**the god of this world**"). The word "Allah" means "the god."

Well, the one true God for a Bible-believing Christian is "**Jehovah**," but the "God" of all Bible-rejecting Moslems, he has no *name*. Now what do you make of that?

Well, I'll tell you what to make of it, since the life of your family and your nation depends on it. Professor John Warmack Montgomery, in his book *Ecumenicity, Evangelicals And Rome*, says: "Trouble arises when evangelicals neglect to penetrate *behind the surface* issues to the *basic theological motives* that give the *specific doctrines* their force. They are too ready to skim the surface of *doctrinal* issues."

You're trying to duck a *major issue* right here where I've been putting it before your face, paragraph after paragraph. The issue is: "What is any 'god' doing sneaking around where he is afraid to tell anybody what his *name* is?" That's a *major theological issue*. *Theology* means "knowledge of God."

All right, what is "the God's" *name*? No name? Carl Braaten, in his book *A Map of Twentieth-century Theology*, quotes a man called Anders Nygren, who says: "The most *important task* of those engaged in the modern scientific religion and theological research is to reach an inner understanding of the *different* [different, not *simi-*

lar] forms of religion in the light of their *fundamental motifs* [different, not *fundamental agreement* or *fundamental motives*].”

*Allah never told Mohammed what his name was, but as far as that goes, when he was the god of the Ka'aba (where 359 other gods “shared” worship with him), he never told anyone his NAME there. He didn't tell “Gabriel” what his name was. So the real author of the Koran remained in the dark.*

Now, we know about the “Allah” of the Ka'aba, the old black building down there in Mecca. He called himself “Hubal.” Where there 359 other gods in there with him with no names? Why, at least two of Allah's three daughters (Sura 53:19) had names (Al-Lat is just a feminine form of Allah; it means “the goddess”), and his sun goddess had a name (*Shams*). But “Allah” (if he called himself “Allah”) ? Well, Allah simply gave himself a title: “the god.” That's the name the Holy Bible gives to Satan (2 Cor. 4:4): “**the god.**” In Arabic that means “Allah.”

Well then, I wonder who the *real* Allah is? He never identified himself in the *Koran*. He couldn't be the one who gave the Old Testament by inspiration (“**All scripture is given by inspiration of God**”), for the Old Testament gave the *Middle East* to Abraham's twelve great-grandsons (Psa. 105:10–11; Gen. 15:18–21). “Allah”—if he was Jehovah—told you that the Middle East, Asia, Europe, and Africa were given to half-breed (Hamite/Shemite) Arabians from Ishmael.

We are continuing to introduce you to the “God” of Billy Graham and the Popes, who all believe that He has *different names* (“Allah” and “Jehovah”) assigned to Him since he *believes* in different things, *quotes* different things, *commands* different things, and *contradicts himself* just about every time he opens his mouth.

To reconcile these two Gods, we must further as-



sume that **“JEHOVAH”** never existed; He was just “the God.” That is, you must assume that He never gave anybody His name (see Exod. 6:3), because “Allah” never did. “Allah” just means “the god.” All Christians must assume that **“the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob”** (Matt. 22:32) and the God of Moses, David, and Jesus Christ was “Allah” (“the God”), the god of the “Islamic Nation,” the jihadists, Arafat, Saladin, the *Hadith*, and Mohammed. *They were the same God.*

Now, we are comparing how these two “Allahs” looked at things and what they told prophets to write down for humanity to go by. So all humanity should study the Scriptures *and* the *Koran* so they can “get together.”

The God of the Old Testament (“Allah”) spoke directly to people many times (Noah, Abram, Moses, David, et al.). But every single word in the *Koran* was given to Mohammed by a 600-winged angel (*Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 6, no. 380). Allah said nothing. That is the official teaching of all Imams of the “*Holy*” *Koran*. It is found in the “*Holy*” *Hadith*, the second holiest book that the Moslems reverence (Mishkat al-Masabih, Book 1; Sahih Muslim, p. ii).

Actually, the *Koran* itself didn’t come from Allah’s mouth at any time, anywhere. The whole book came from one man’s mouth (Mohammed’s), and he didn’t see any book he was supposed to be reciting. “Gabriel” gave him the whole mess orally (see Sura 19:19, note 1537). Mohammed never saw or heard a word of it. This is common knowledge. In view of this, how could any SANE man or woman think that the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and **“the God and Father”** of the Lord Jesus Christ was the same “God” who told a 600-winged angel to talk to Mohammed?

Now compare these two “gods” when they attempt

to prophesy. When the "Allah" of the *Koran* talks about the future of man after death, or the future of Islam after the death of Mohammed, you must pretend that he must know this future and will describe it in the *Koran*. But when you examine the *Koran* (any edition), you find nothing there but repetitions of information found in the Old Testament. "The god"—Allah of the *Koran*—couldn't tell you anything that happened in any man's history after death, before the "final judgment."

A typical expositor of the Islamic religion claims that the resurrection, the "last day," and "judgment day" are the same event taking place at the same time. None of them dealt with any man when he died. Blew it again.

"Allah's" Son said, "**I am the resurrection**" (John 11:25), and He told the whole world that you can be resurrected "**from the dead**" (Mark 9:9-10). You can get resurrected "**from the dead**" before any "judgment day" takes place (Rev. 20:4-5).

The *Koran* refers to the coming "day of judgment" on "the last day" more than any other topic except monotheism. Why? Because the Allah of the *Koran* didn't know what was going to happen to anyone or any nation between A.D. 600-A.D. 3000 and that day, so he left out 2,400 years of history as a blank.

Mohammed simply stole two dozen verses from the Old Testament that applied to the Second Advent of Christ and invented a last judgment day by misapplying the verses. "**A day of wrath**" was already defined in the Old Testament (Zeph. 1:15). "*A day of decision*"—already defined in the Old Testament (Joel 3:14). "*A day of retribution*"—already defined in the Old Testament (Isa. 63:4). "*The day of truth*"—already defined in both Testaments (Psa. 96:13). "Allah's" descriptions were all plagiarisms every time he spoke of the "last day."

"Not only individuals with their guardian angels but

nations will assemble before the great Allah, and their deeds will be weighed” (George Braswell Jr., *What You Need to Know About Islam and Muslims*, B&H Publishing Group, Nashville, TN, 2000).

That was stolen from Old Testament (Dan. 5:27): **“weighed in the balances, and found . . . wanting.”** From the New Testament, Mohammed stole the Holy Spirit’s account of “the Judgment of the Nations” (Matt. 25:31–46), which is not the “Last Judgment” at all, nor anywhere near “the Last Day” or the final judgment. All the Allah of the *Koran* knew was some judgment day like the one given in Revelation 20:11–15 and 2 Peter 3:10–12. His knowledge of history from A.D. 600 to A.D. 3000 is 114 chapters of “blank verse.”

Neither Allah nor his “Messenger” could prophesy correctly. The “Allah” of the *Koran* was just as deaf, dumb, and blind about these prophetic truths as his illiterate prophet. Neither one of them knew what was going on or what was coming on, so they couldn’t give even an opinion about it. They were absolute zeroes—as deaf and blind as Helen Keller.

In talking about the future, the *Holy Hadith* pretends to prophesy; it mentions the precursors of the judgment day: “religious knowledge will decrease.” That came from the New Testament (1 Tim. 4:1). “Ignorance will prevail”—prophesied in 2 Timothy 3:7. “Open and illegal sexual intercourse will increase.” (Imagine Mohammed “prophesying” *that*, after allowing his followers four different wives at the same time and telling them they can divorce any of them by telling them they are divorced and then carry on “*trial marriages*” called “marriages of pleasure” that lasted for *three days!*)

What is a prophet of “the God” doing overlooking four major judgments that take place through 2,000 years? There are seven separate judgments recorded by “Allah”

in the New Testament more than 400 years before Mohammed was born.

1) There is the *judgment of sin* that fell on Christ when He died for your sins (2 Cor. 5:21).

2) There is the *individual self-judgment* by the believer on himself when he doesn't stay in fellowship with the Lord (1 Cor. 11:31; 1 John 1:9).

3) There is the *judgment of the Jewish nation* for rejecting Christ (1 Thess. 2:14–16).

4) There is the judgment of Satan at Calvary (John 12:31).

5) There is the *judgment of the nations* when the Lord returns after Armageddon (Matt. 25:31–46; Joel 3:2).

6) There's the *Judgment Seat of Christ* for the born-again Christians that are caught up before the Tribulation takes place (2 Cor. 5:10; 1 Cor. 3:12–15)

7) Then there is the final judgment of the last day at the *White Throne Judgement* where the dead are judged (Rev. 20:11–15; Dan. 7:9–10); at that judgment, Christians will judge angels (1 Cor. 6:3).

How did all of the prophets "recognized" by Mohammed fail to report historical prophecies in the *Koran*? Along with Mohammed, these certain "messengers" were called "prophets," even if none could prophesy.

The *Koran* mentions some 25 "prophets" by name: four are Arabs, three are from the New Testament (Zechariah, John the Baptist, and Jesus), and then six more prophets are given titles. Among these prophets you will find *Ishmael*. How Ishmael turned into a "prophet," nobody exactly knows, but he is one of the "prophets" all Moslems recognize. Moslems "recognize" Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, David, Jesus, and Mohammed as prophets; but they are not those men as they are described in either Testament.

## Chapter 7

# Global Unity and Nations

We get off to a flying start in Genesis by learning that Adam was “the chosen of God,” *which is found nowhere in either Testament*. Then they make Noah “the preacher of God,” whereas the Book says that he was “**a preacher of righteousness**” (2 Pet. 2:5). Moses is called “the speaker of God,” overlooking the fact that *all the prophets* “spoke for God.” The last gross error is that Mohammed is “THE Apostle of God” (Thomas Patrick Hughes, “The Muslim’s Faith,” *The Andover Review*, Vol. 10, July-Dec. 1888, Houghton, Mifflin, and Company, Boston, pp. 27–28). No, as a matter of fact, he was not “THE Apostle of God,” unless you make his “Allah” a *different* “Allah” than the God of the Holy Bible.

The God of the Holy Bible says that Mohammed was *not* “the apostle” at all, nor was he even “AN” apostle of any god. The “Allah” of the New Testament corrected the “Allah” of the *Koran* by recording: “**Wherefore, holy brethren, partakers of the heavenly calling, consider THE APOSTLE . . . of our profession.**” Name? “**Christ Jesus**” (Heb. 3:1). Mohammed is *not* “THE APOSTLE” of any Christian’s profession. But you are going to bring in peace on earth by reconciling these two “Allahs”? That is what Billy Graham, the Pope, and George Bush (with the CFR) are “working on.”

Let us just run through two books and see what “Allah” did in “**the holy scriptures**,” and then what he did in the *Koran*. Surely both books will agree *unless*

*one author is a lying blasphemer.*

Shall we try the *Shahada*?

"There is no God but Allah, and *John the Baptist* is his 'messenger'." Oh, no! I beg your pardon! That's Malachi 3:1; Mark 1:2-4; Matthew 3:3; and Isaiah 40:3!

I meant to say, "There is no God but the God, and Mohammed is his *apostle*." Well, no, not quite! You see, the other "Allah" said that *Jesus Christ* was His Apostle (Heb. 3:1).

Let's try it again: "There is no God but the God (Allah), and Mohammed is his prophet." Well, *not quite!* In Deuteronomy 18:18, the *other* "Allah" said when the prophet like unto Moses came He would be a *JEW*—one of your "**brethren**"—a Jew. That was no reference to *Abraham's* brethren; it referred to *Moses'* brethren. I don't think Mohammed was a Jew. When was he "**king of the Jews**" (Luke 23:37)?

You see, right away we get into this monstrous, semantic, confused tangle; and God is certainly "**not the author of confusion**" (1 Cor. 14:33). This is a confusion that so completely saturates the whole world that there isn't a single news media outlet today on six continents that will print the truth about it, not a single one of them. Not one of them would dare to offend a Moslem or a Catholic. Why? "Piece a' cake." It is so everybody can get together, when they shouldn't be together. To get everybody together when they *can't* get together you must *force them* to get together when they shouldn't be together. (For further details, see Gen. 11, written 3,400 years before the League of Nations or the UN ever showed up.)

We're searching for the real "Allah" (the Arabic word that means "the God"). But as we have been looking for the real God, up pops two completely *contradictory* "Gods." One is revealed in "**the holy scriptures**" of the

Old and New Testaments, and one is revealed in 114 chapters of a so-called "*Holy*" *Koran*, which is explained by the "*Holy*" *Hadith*—the second most "holy" book in the "Islamic Nation" (*realizing, of course, that no "Islamic Nation" ever existed!* ). The word *holy* was put there to make you think that the Arabs got it from the Jews, *but they didn't*.

We repeat: there is no warrant for *any* "Islamic Nation" or "Catholic Nation" anywhere in "**the holy scriptures.**" There *is* one for the *nation of Israel*, so that's where "the fur hits the fan" and "ties the rag on the bush."

The UN must abandon "**the holy scriptures.**" They have done it. They did it in America in the "Empire City" (New York City, NY). They did it with the approval of every President, Vice-President, Congress, ACLU, NEA, and Senate since FDR (1945).

"Will the real Allah please stand up?" Not according to the God of Hebrews 3:1. If the God of Abraham and Isaac and Jacob (and Jesus Christ) was the God of Mohammed (like Billy Graham and the Pope say), then why did Allah say in Hebrews 3:1 that "**THE APOSTLE . . . of our profession**" was *not* Mohammed at all; *it was Jesus Christ*.

Now anyone can see right away, here, that we are getting on some very dangerous ground, because what we are going to find out is that the Biblical "Allah" of the Old Testament and New Testament has nothing in common at all with the "Allah" of Mohammed's *Koran*. "**The god of this world**" is Satan (2 Cor. 4:4). This forces any sane man to choose between one of three "Gods." You either must believe in "the God" of the Old and New Testaments or "the God" of the *Koran* or "**the god of this world.**" Are all three of them the same?

The word for "THE GOD" in Arabic is "Allah." So you'd have to say that "Allah" was the God of Abraham,

Isaac, Jacob, Ishmael, David, and Moses. He would also have to be **"the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ."** But this could never be because you are told over and over in the *Koran* that "Allah" never had any "Son." The god of Islam couldn't have been the Father of Jesus Christ, and yet he is said to be the *same god* with just a different *name*. Now isn't that something.

After telling you that Ishmael was a prophet, and David and Moses were prophets (among the other prophets), Mohammed said, "The prophets are all *brethren* as they have one *FATHER* though their *mothers* were different" (Gerock, pg. 132; cited by Philip Schaff, *History of the Christian Church*, Vol. 4, pg. 174). What?! The prophets have "one *FATHER*"? Why, who on earth or in Hell could that be? All Moslems say that David, Moses, Zechariah, John the Baptist, Jesus Christ, Mohammed, and Ishmael were prophets and that they all had the same father! They are all "brethren" because they all have "one *FATHER*! Who in Medina or Mecca could *that be*? "Though their *mothers* are different."

Now think about that. That's an illiterate, epileptic pederast who said, "God never had a Son and never gave birth to a Son"; yet *SOMEONE* was the same father of all of the prophets ("brethren"), but someone was not the same mother of all of them!

Why, the "father" of Moses wasn't the "father" of David. Are you trying to be funny? The "father" of David was *Jesse*, and David's mother's name was *Nahash* (2 Sam. 17:25 cf. 1 Chron. 2:15-16). Was that Ishmael's mother? They said Ishmael was a "prophet." I thought Ishmael's mother was *Hagar* the Egyptian and his father was *Abraham*.

Now turn to Exodus 2 and read verse 1. What happens there? Why, there is Moses, recognized as a "prophet," but his father wasn't David's father. David's



father (Jesse) was from the tribe of Judah. Moses' father was *Amram* (Exod. 6:20) from the tribe of *Levi*. Moses' mother was *Jochebed* (Exod. 6:20).

But here is old Mohammed, just as pious as a plastered pussycat: "The prophets are all *brethren*, as they have *one father*, though their *mothers* are different. The *origin* of all their religions [plural] is *the same*, and *between me and Jesus there is no other prophet*" (Gerock, cited by Schaff, pg. 174).

He lied again. Look at the book of Acts. Long after Christ was dead and gone back to Heaven, you find: "**In these days came prophets from Jerusalem** [long after Christ death and resurrection] . . . **and there stood up one of them** [i.e., a prophet] **named Agabus, and signified by the Spirit . . .**" (Acts 11:27-28). What did the old lying raghead mean "there were no *prophets* between Christ and him"? He must have really been illiterate (although a lot of his Moslems say that he wasn't, while some say that he was).

Look now at Acts 21:10, "**And as we tarried there many days, there came down from Judaea a certain prophet, named Agabus.**" Why, that thing took place 27 years after Christ went back to Glory.

Getting off to a roaring start, aren't we? But that ain't the half of it. "The half of it" is that Mohammed said "all of God's prophets were guarded from sin": they were sinless (Sura 40:55, 53:2; notes 2194, 1535).

Well then, certainly if any of them prophesied something, you surely could believe it, couldn't you? That is, if they were sinless? You say, "Oh, no one said they were sinless." Oh yes they did. Mohammed himself is quoted as saying: "Pardon my sins" (*The Sayings of Muhammed*, Citadel Book Press, 1999, pg. 101). His followers said he was sinless (Sura 53:2, note 2372). What a confession for a "*prophet*"! After the *Koran* taught that all of the

prophets were *sinless* (Sura 19:14, note 1535). Mohammed just said he couldn't say he was sinless. But my, my, my! Here I have in my hand a mammoth copy of the *Holy Koran* by Maulana Muhammad Ali, published by the official Islamic publishing company for all Islamic publications (Lahore, in India and America). The edition I have is a 1995 edition, but it went through *fifteen editions* before that one. This Moslem Imam has over 2,000 footnotes of comments in it. As a matter of fact, he has 2,822. Here is his footnote for Sura 19:14, "The various aspects of the character of John [i.e., John the Baptist] deserve to be noted. He was pure and sinless and never disobeyed God. In fact, what is said of one prophet is equally true of all [prophets]. They are all pure from birth, and never disobey God."

This would eliminate Mohammed, as a believer in the *Koran*, from being a "prophet." He had just confessed that he had to ask Allah to pardon his sins! All of the Imams taught that all the prophets were sinless.

You want it again? "John was pure and sinless and never disobeyed God. In fact, what is said of one prophet is equally true of all prophets": that would be Moses, David, Zechariah, Adam, Noah, and Ishmael. "*They are all pure from birth.*"

Wanna try a true Book instead of a lying book? **"How then can a man be justified with God? or how can he be clean that is born of a woman?"** (Job 25:4) **"Who can bring a clean thing out of an unclean? not one"** (Job 14:4). You were born **"dead in trespasses and sins . . . and were by nature the children of wrath"** (Eph. 2:1, 3).

But the prophets are all *pure* from birth, are they, and *never* disobey God? Was *David* a prophet? All Moslems say "Yes." How pure was he? Did he ever disobey God? Absolutely not! Not according to every teacher of

the “*Holy*” *Koran* who ever lived.

There it is, just like a rotten egg. You can’t beat it, but boy, does it ever stink!

Lo and behold! When you look at the “prophets,” you read this footnote on Sura 7:143—“What Moses wanted to see was the great manifestation of divine glory which was reserved *for the holy prophet Mohammed*. In fact, both Moses and Jesus were *not* equal to the task which was reserved for the prophet *Mohammed*.”

So here is this “Allah” who chose this fornicating killer (who was *sinless*) to be a “prophet” and classified him (and all the prophets) as being *sinless*. That one—“the true God” that all Moslems profess to worship, and whom all Imams, Caliphs, and Sheiks profess to worship, and whom everybody in the Islamic Nation professes to worship—“the God.”

The question is, was that “God” the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob in the Old Testament? Was he “the God” of Moses and David in the Old Testament? Was that the same “the God” as “the God” of the Shahada? (“There is no god but ‘the god,’ and Mohammed is his prophet.”)

Billy Graham and the Pope said He was the same God. This means that the leadership in the Catholic and Protestant churches turned out to be two bald-faced liars.

But now, if you want a great blessing, pretend for a moment that since all prophets were *sinless*, then Moses and David were *sinless*, for they were both prophets. Thus they were 100% protected from error (Sura 53:6, notes 1916, 1763). This means that everything Moses wrote (and everything David wrote and Jesus said) could not possibly be in error, because Moslems recognize all three of them as “prophets” and the Imams all say that all the prophets are *born pure and never disobey God* (Sura 40:55, notes 2194, 1535). Got that?

Never forget *that* as we begin to quote "Allah" in the Old and New Testaments. We'll see what the "Allah" of Moses *said*, and what the "Allah" of David *said*, and what the "Allah" of Jesus Christ *said*, remembering, as a faithful Moslem, that they never would *disobey* God. When God gave them something they *spoke it*, and every word was the truth: they said what they meant and meant what they said. If they were all pure and sinless, they could not have lied.

For example, when Jesus Christ said "**He that hath seen me hath seen the Father**" (John 14:9), He couldn't have lied, because He was a sinless prophet. When Jesus Christ said "**I and my Father are one**" (John 10:30), He couldn't have lied, because all prophets are sinless, and *Mohammed* recognized Jesus as a "prophet." When Jesus said, "**No man cometh to the Father but by me**" (John 14:6), He couldn't have lied or been in error, because he was "born pure and sinless" like Ishmael and Moses and David, at least according to the *Koran*.

If you found in the Old Testament "Allah" telling Abraham that the Middle East belonged to the twelve tribes of Israel (which came from Isaac and Jacob) from the Nile River to the Euphrates, He couldn't have made a mistake. Only a liar would say that it had been given to "Palestinians" or "Arabs" or "Moslems."

As you can see, we are approaching a 50,000 foot precipice, because the God of the Old Testament and the New Testament sure must have had some radical changes of mind about what He had thought between the time that the New Testament was written (A.D. 90) and when Mohammed showed up with his epileptic fits and his harem quoting a 600-winged angel (A.D. 600). My, what a change of mind "the God" had between John's instructions (John 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 11) in the last book of the Holy Bible (Rev. 5-19) and the blabbering of a sex maniac who claimed he was a "prophet" *because he had a large hairy mole on*

his back (*Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 1, no. 189; Vol. IV, no. 741) and who taught that killing, slavery, and polygamy were the directive will of "Allah."

All right, let's start out with something basic, shall we? *Moses was a prophet* (Deut. 18:18). One time he said that God would raise up a prophet *like him* to preach to Israel and that they were to hear *every word* that prophet said (Deut. 18:18–19). Well, a little bit later, *up shows the Prophet* "just like Moses." Like Moses, He was a Jew. Mohammed was not a Jew.

A ruler tried to kill this Prophet as soon as he was born (see Matt. 2), like Moses (see Exod. 2). Jesus had the signs of healing given to Moses (see Exod. 3, 5). He rose from the dead after he was buried, exactly as Moses (Deut. 34 cf. Jude 9), and since he too was a "*prophet*," He had to be sinless according to Moslems. This means that anything Moses wrote "you Moslems can count on" as being *absolutely 100% correct*—it couldn't be a lie.

All right, in the Old Testament, "Allah" said, "Kick Ishmael out. He is not to inherit Abraham's stuff with Isaac. I have chosen Isaac and not Ishmael. Give Ishmael the boot" (Gen. 21:10). That is what a *sinless prophet* wrote in the Old Testament.

Further, "Allah" said, "Take Isaac up there on the mount and offer him for a sacrifice to me" (Gen. 22:1–3). Moses wrote that down, but then something went completely "haywire" somewhere, because 2,100 years later (A.D. 1500), "Allah" decided He had made two bad mistakes. He should have given "**Ishmael**" Abraham's inheritance, so he had better strike out what Moses wrote in Genesis 22, for he said "**Isaac**" was sacrificed. So although Moses was pure and sinless and protected from error according to Mohammed, he just lied like a dog in Genesis 21 and 22, didn't he?

Oh, come, come, now! "Allah" was the name Mo-

ammed gave to the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. He's the same God as "Jehovah" according to the Pope. Moslems just give him a different name according to Billy Graham and the Popes. You see, in the UN, you must all worship the *same* "god," right? "The fatherhood of God," *right?*

Tell me something, when "Allah" ("the God") got to writing the New Testament ("**all scripture is given by inspiration of God**"), how come He messed up *again* and said the "bad cat" was Ishmael (Gal. 4:30) and the good one was Isaac (Gal. 4:22–31)? "Allah," in the New Testament, gave Isaac as a picture of a born-again Christian whose "mother" is New Jerusalem, while Ishmael—from whom Mohammed came (after seventy other "intervening" people)—was a slave whose "mother" was an *African* (Ham, Psa. 105:23) and a type of an *unsaved man* and a Christ-rejecting Jew.

**"For it is written, that Abraham had two sons, the one by a bondmaid, the other by a freewoman. But he who was of the bondwoman was born after the flesh; but he of the freewoman was by promise. Which things are an allegory: for these are the two covenants; the one from the mount Sinai, which gendereth to bondage, which is Agar. For this Agar is mount Sinai in Arabia, and answereth to Jerusalem which now is, and is in bondage with her children. But Jerusalem which is above is free, which is the mother of us all"** (Gal. 4:22–26).

My, didn't "Allah" have a drastic change of mind along the way (A.D. 40–A.D. 600) somewhere? I wonder *who* changed His mind about these things and decided that the Arabs would be a God-chosen people coming from Ishmael—who came from an African descendant of Ham. *Some "God" had changed his mind.* But all Moslems teach that Moses wrote the account as a sinless

prophet who couldn't err (see Suras 40:55; 53:2 with notes 2194 and 1533)! Moses quoted Allah as saying, "**Cast out the bondwoman and her son**" because he'll not be heir with Isaac.

So Abraham—the first real Moslem, according to all Islamic literature and the *Koran*—kicked Ishmael out and gave the inheritance to *Isaac*. Those were the exact words that "Allah" gave Moses in Genesis 25:1–6. *Read them!*

Well now, up shows "Allah's prophet" in the New Testament (Acts 3:22–23), and He's born pure and sinless (like Ishmael, David, and Moses—according to the Moslem teacher of the *Koran*). Up He pops and says that you were allowed polygamy for awhile in the Old Testament "**because of the hardness of your heart but in the beginning it was not so**" (Matt. 19:8). "Allah's prophet" says a man should have one wife and the two should be "**one flesh**" (Matt. 19:4–6; Eph. 5:29–31). But lo and behold, about 500 years later, the same "Allah" had time "to think" about this quotation and realize he had really "made a goof" when he had his prophet say it; so Mohammed had Allah say: "That isn't quite true. I can give one prophet thirteen to fourteen wives (plus "concubines"), and that's all right. They shall be 'fourteen flesh' instead of '**one flesh,**' and 'eleven more flesh' instead of '**one flesh.**'" Then Mohammed graciously allowed all of his followers in the "Islamic Nation" to have one, two, three, or four for "**one flesh.**"

What had "Allah" been eating (or smoking or drinking) for about 600 years? *Too much sugar in his cereal?* Was he on heroin? What happened? I mean, "Allah" really got his truths all screwed up somewhere.

Mohammed said "Allah" means "the God." "The god" of the *Koran* says that you can have *four wives*. The God who sent His *Prophet* (Deut. 18:18), "a prophet like unto Moses" (Acts 3:22–23), was protected from error and

sinless, but He said "one wife." So did the Apostle Paul (Eph. 5:31).

Since the Scriptures are "**given by inspiration of God,**" then some "God" really messed up Paul and Jesus Christ. Moses and Paul said a man was to "**cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh**" (Gen. 2:24; Eph. 5:31). Paul said a man was to cherish her (singular) as his own body (Eph. 5:28–29)—singular. The "Allah" who supposedly inspired the *Koran* must have been an entirely different "Allah" than the one who inspired both Testaments.

Of course you can say they're the same, but it gets kind of ridiculous after a while, doesn't it? It's kind of like convincing yourself that the USA became a great nation by promoting abortion, alien immigrants, integration, pornography, women's lib, joining the UN, Social Security, promoting China and Russia, and practicing "affirmative action." Did Israel ever become a great nation by absorbing "multicultures"?

Look what happened when Allah's "chosen people"—the Moslems from Ishmael (supposedly)—tried to join God's "chosen people" (Israel, see Exod. 19:5–6) even 500 years before Israel rejected their Messiah. Here we have Geshem the Arabian and his buddies (Neh. 6:1–2) showing up as "fellow helpers" for the Jews to help them rebuild the Temple (Ezra 4:1–4).

The *Koran* just said Abraham was the first real Moslem and the Arabian Moslems replaced Israel as a nation in Matthew 21:43 sometime after the book of Acts was over. Abraham's "chosen seed" to produce Allah's "chosen nation" was Ishmael, not Isaac, although the Allah who recorded Abraham's life said TWICE that Isaac was the chosen seed and *not* Ishmael, and then stated four times in the first book of the Torah (Genesis) that Isaac's seed was Jacob's twelve sons (see Gen. 28:13–14, 35:12,



48:16, 50:24; see also Psa. 105:9–11; Josh 24:1–14; Deut. 30:20, 34:4).

Well, here comes the half-breed Samaritan (Neh. 4:1–2; 2 Kings 17:24–41) with two buddies: Lot's 50% Hamitic daughter's son (Ammon [Gen. 19:38], whose grandmother was an Egyptian) and his bosom buddy Geshem, from Ishmael—whose mother was an Egyptian (Gen. 21:9) from Ham (Psa. 105:23). Three good Moslems if you ever saw them.

Well, they come around wanting to help build Jerusalem (the place where Mohammed said he went up over it on a “night mare”!). The Jewish remnant that “Allah” sent back from Babylon and Syria told “**Geshem the Arabian**” to get himself out of there and not to come back. (Read it in Ezra 4:1–4.) “Allah” inspired that to be recorded in the book of Ezra; that was Abraham's “God.” How could this Allah write the *Koran* which said the Arabs were a “chosen people” (Sura 3:110), and someday their religion would rule all the world as well as all of Palestine (Sura 24:55)?

Will the real Allah please stand up?

The “Allah” who inspired Psalm 2 and John 14 through two sinless prophets (David and Jesus) could not have inspired Sura 9:30, 17:39, 5:17, 2:116, 40:55, or any Sura like them.

When it comes to prophecy—the acid test for supernatural revelations (see Isa. 40–48)—here are samples of *Koranic* prophecies regarding the future:

1. No names are given (cf. Isa. 44:28).
2. No dates are given (cf. Dan. 9:25–27).
3. No countries are named (cf. Dan. 8:20–21).
4. Not one specific detail is given except words taken out of the Old Testament or New Testament.

Here is a glaring example given in George Braswell Jr.'s work *What You Need to Know About Islam and*

*Muslims* (pg. 25). My, what wise predictions! "The number of women will increase over men so fifty women will be cared for by one man." That is a perversion from Isaiah 4:1. That "prophetic revelation" was in print more than a thousand years before Mohammed's grandfather was born. The "Allah" of the *Koran* is a copycat. In this passage, Mohammed was justifying a time when fifty wives for each Moslem would be "legit." All he can do is "ape" what somebody else wrote. Further *Koranic* prophecies state: "The final hour will come suddenly and great despair will overtake unbelievers"—stolen from Revelation 20:9–10. "Natural disasters will occur"—stolen in total, completely, from Revelation 6–19, except that the "Allah" of the New Testament describes each disaster *in detail*, while the Allah of the *Koran* can't give you anything but *generalities*. That is "prophecy" in the *Koran*. It is the work of somebody who stumbled through both Testaments stealing and borrowing the material that would justify *slavery, polygamy, and the killing of Jews, Hindus, Buddhists, and Christians*. When it comes to prophecy, all the blind fool can say is: "each individual will stand before God."

That's a revelation from Allah? Are you trying to be funny? Five hundred years before that, Paul wrote down: **"So then every one of us shall give account of himself to God"** (Rom. 14:12). One thousand five hundred years before the *Koran*, Solomon wrote down: **"And God shall bring every work into judgment, with every secret thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil"** (Eccl. 12:14). A thousand years before Mohammed's great-grandmother was born, David wrote: **"Thou knowest my downsitting and mine uprising, thou understandest my thought afar off . . . . For there is not a word in my tongue, but, lo, O LORD, thou knowest it altogether"** (Psa. 139:2, 4). And you think the "Allah" of the *Koran* gave anyone a *revelation*? Why,

that's ridiculous. If Mohammed's "Allah" had an original idea, it would die of loneliness. The *Koran* turns out to be just a cheap, censored, abridged *counterfeit* of some truths found in both Testaments.

Then we have a further prophecy dealing with *non-history* which says, "People will call upon Muhammad to intercede before God on their behalf" (Braswell, pg. 25). Well, now there's a new revelation! What man with sense enough to come in out of the rain on a dark night would call upon *Mohammed* to intercede with God for him? He would call on Mohammed after the revelation that **"there is . . . one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus"** who can make intercession for him (1 Tim. 2:5)?

With a *sinless Saviour* who rose from the dead to make intercession for *you* (Heb. 3:24–26), what a fool you would be to call upon a urine-drinking raghead to intercede for you. You are asking a man to intercede for you when you know he has shackled up with fourteen so-called "legal" wives, plus eleven illegal slave concubines, and has fornicated with a nine-year-old girl while fornicating with his own daughter-in-law? You want an intercessor who cuts off people's heads and hands when he gets mad and who has them pulled apart by camels (see pp. 82–83)? Do you want someone interceding for you who himself didn't know where he was going when he died, and then *stayed dead* when he dropped dead?

"People will call on *Muhammad* to intercede before God on their behalf"? Why, nobody but a deceived fool in an insane asylum would *think* of it! You'd have to have "one oar in the water" and the "elevator not getting to the top floor" to ask somebody like Mohammed to intercede *for any sinner*.

Moslems say that another prophetic revelation of the future is "the resurrection of the body" (Braswell, pg. 29).

Why, that isn't any kind of a revelation at all. Job believed in that 1,800 years before Christ was born, and Job didn't even have it in writing (Job 19:25–27).

The idea of thinking that was a "prophetic revelation" given to Mohammed. Why, the dirty, lying sneak-thief. That's stolen right out of the mouth of Job, who lived in 1800 B.C. It was stolen a second time right out of the mouth of the prophet Ezekiel from 600 B.C. (Ezek. 37:5–13). And it was stolen the third time out of the mouth of the Apostle Paul around A.D. 58–60 (1 Cor. 15:51–55).

That's the "*Holy*" *Koran*. In the name of sanity, what is "holy" about any book like that?

Now here are some more *non-historical* prophecies. "After the earth has perished, people will be revived or resurrected with the blast of a trumpet" (Braswell, pg. 29). The first five words were stolen from 2 Peter 3:7–10. The "trumpet" was stolen, verbatim, from 1 Thessalonians 4:16; 1 Corinthians 15:52; and Revelation 8:2, 11:15. "Allah" couldn't tell Mohammed *one thing* that any Christian didn't know more than 400 years before Mohammed was born.

Here is another Moslem tradition which teaches a "Messiah" shall come and destroy the Antichrist. That is stolen from Genesis 3:15 and 2 Thessalonians 2:4–9. That's a "tradition"? The "Allah" of the New Testament even told you how to identify the Antichrist:

**"Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world. Hereby know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God: And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world"**

(1 John 4:1–3).

How come “Allah” couldn’t identify him—I mean the “Allah” of the *Koran*? How come Mohammed couldn’t identify him? The Apostle John could and *did*. The Apostle Paul could and *did* (2 Thess 2:3–4). The Lord Jesus Christ could and *did* (John 17:12).

All of those prophecies were given in advance of *all* the information in all 114 chapters of any edition of the *Koran*. At the other end of this childish counterfeit, we learn that in the final judgment on the “last day,” God calculates the direction of “the tipping of the scales.” This was stolen from Daniel 5:28 (538 B.C.).

Well, it takes TWO weights when balancing on a “scale.” What *weight* is used to see how righteous the sinner is? If it tips to righteousness, the sinner being judged will dwell in a garden of “Paradise”; if it tips to evil, the sinner’s destiny will be the “fire of Hell.” *What determines the tipping?* Evil versus good? Evil according to what? *According to whom?*

Well, here is the joker in Allah’s “deck.” Islam teaches that its followers should worship “the God,” and it requires them to obey “the God.” But that is not the whole truth. Islam requires you to obey *Mohammed*, and it declares that if you obey a sinner (*Mohammed*), you are obeying “the God” (Sura 4:80). Mohammed’s righteousness is Allah’s righteousness.

All right: “How *much* righteousness”? Silence—the *Koran* is a blank. The “Allah” of the New Testament tells you exactly how much righteousness is required: perfect sinlessness. That’s the “standard.” The standard is given in Acts 17:31.

A *sinless man* is the standard by which Mohammed will be judged. And “Allah” wrote it out in the New Testament so Mohammed would know about it before Allah put him into the “balances” (Rom. 10:1–5). The standard

of righteousness for everybody to whom I am writing is God's righteousness (Rom. 3:25–26).

You say, "Who revealed that to you?" The "Allah" of the New Testament. You must have His righteousness to get into "Paradise." Have you got it? It is *sinless righteousness*.

According to all teachers of the *Koran*, Ishmael had it, and Moses had it, and David had it, and Christ had it: *perfect righteousness* (Sura 40:55; 53:2, notes 2194, 1537). But the Allah of the New Testament said that you'll have to cancel every "prophet" that the Allah of the *Koran* "recognized" but ONE! Adam was not a sinless prophet, Moses was not a sinless prophet, David was not a sinless prophet, Ishmael was not a sinless prophet, and Zechariah was not a sinless prophet. You will have to cancel all of them as sinless prophets except for one of them—Allah's Son (Heb. 4:15, 7:27).

God's standard for righteousness walked around on this earth for 33½ years so everyone on earth would know what His standard was. Then it was *recorded* historically by four eyewitness biographers.

The "Allah" of the *Koran* didn't know anything about God's righteousness at all. What does the Allah of the *Koran* say? He says that no one can take away any sinner's sin or die for the sins of anybody else.

That is, Mohammed's "Allah" just called the "Allah" of the New Testament a *blankety-blank liar*. Look at 2 Corinthians 5:21; 1 Peter 2:24; Romans 5:1–10; and 1 Corinthians 15:3—four times.

The Allah of the *Koran* says that there is no depravity in human nature; there is only "ignorance and weakness." So he made a liar out of the God of both Testaments *again*.

What the "Allah" of the Old Testament and the New Testament had to say about "depravity in human

nature" is found in Ecclesiastes 7:20; Psalm 39:5; and Romans 1:29–32, 3:10–19.

Finally, these three blind stooges—Mohammed, Gabriel, and "Allah"—all taught that salvation was a future state which no one could be sure of till after "the judgment." The "Allah" of the New Testament declared that New Testament salvation is a *present* possession *completed* in the past (Heb. 10:10–14; Rom. 8:29; Eph. 2:1–9).

Come, come, now. Where is the *real* Allah? Will he stand up? Let's see him.

Look at this Islamic counterfeit of Genesis 22. Moslem pilgrims go to a place called "Mina," supposedly the place where Abraham offered up Ishmael. "Three stone pillars [there] represent places where Satan tempted Ishmael to rebel against his father's attempt to obey God . . . . The pilgrims reenact Ishmael's resistance toward Satan by throwing seven stones at each stone pillar while saying 'Allah Akbaer,' 'God is great'" (Braswell, pg. 37).

He is? Well, that's an *attribute*. What is His *name*? The Moslem "Allah" doesn't tell you anything. "Allah Akbar" just means "The God is great."

Throwing stones at pillars is giving the Devil a "hard time," is it? How much do you want to bet? Did you ever read what the "Allah" of the Old Testament said about Satan in Job 1 and 2? Did you ever read what the "Allah" of the Old Testament said about Satan in Ezekiel 28? Did you ever read what the "Allah" of the New Testament said about Satan in 1 Peter 5 or Revelation 12–13? And you are going to throw stones at him, are you? You silly ass, he'll swallow you whole (1 Pet. 5:8).

"After resisting temptation, they [i.e., the pilgrims] offer an animal sacrifice" (Braswell, pg. 37). What was that for? Why, it isn't for anything.

"It [i.e., the sacrifice] is called *Id Adhan*, the feast of

the sacrifice" (Braswell, pg. 37). All religious feasts were stolen from Leviticus 23 and 25—written more than 1,500 years before Mohammed's great-grandfather was born.

This phony sacrifice is just a "reminder"; it is not a payment for anyone's sins. The sacrifice is a "reminder" (stolen from 1 Cor. 11:27–26) of the *ram* Abraham sacrifice "in the place of his son Ishmael." So Ishmael turns out to be the man to whom God promised to give Saudi Arabia, Transjordan, part of Iraq, plus Syria, Lebanon, part of Egypt, and the Sinaitic Peninsula. In "**the holy scriptures,**" Allah runs all of Ishmael's crew out of Palestine (Gal. 4:30; Gen. 25:5–6).



## Chapter 8

# The Real Allah

Having chosen Mohammed to be his “prophet,” the Allah of the *Koran* carefully preserved for you some sayings of Mohammed (*Sunnahs*) about himself (exactly as Jesus was recorded as having some sayings about Himself 400 years before Mohammed was born).

Now what does Mohammed say about *himself* after living the life he lived? Well, Mohammed gives an account of himself as follows:

1) Allah made him victorious by “frightening his enemies” (Muhammad Saed Abdul-Rahman, *The Meaning and Explanation of the Glorious Qu’ran*, Vol. 10, 2nd Ed., MSA Publication Limited, London, 2009, pg. 46). Is that right? Isn’t that how Hitler took Poland, France, Austria, Czechoslovakia, and part of Russia? Didn’t “Allah” make Hitler victorious by “frightening his enemies”? Isn’t that how Charlemagne took over Europe? Isn’t that how Napoleon took over half of Europe—by “frightening his enemies.”

Isn’t this a strange business. Do you think the Roman legions who took over most of Europe and part of Africa and part of the Middle East didn’t “frighten their enemies”? Any one of them could have said: “Allah made Caesar victorious by frightening his enemies.”

What would that mean *spiritually*? Not one cotton pickin’ fool thing on the face of this earth.

2) Allah’s Mohammed, as all egomaniacs, was obsessed with *himself*. Mohammed says that the earth was

made for him and Allah. After telling people that if you obeyed him you obeyed Allah (Sura 4:80), he said the earth was made for him and Allah (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. IV, no. 392). Nice humble fellow, wasn't he?

According to Mohammed, Jesus Christ doesn't get any of this earth. The twelve apostles, who get to sit on **"twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel"** (see Matt 19:28), don't get any of this earth either. And none of the "faithful servants" who took care of their **"talents"** and their **"pounds"** (Matt. 25:14–23; Luke 19:12–19) get any part of it. That isn't all; you Christians who were promised an inheritance (Rom. 8:17; Col. 3:24) if you earned it by works after you were saved (2 Tim. 2:12), you don't get any either. Paul lied (Eph. 6:8) and Christ lied (Matt. 25:34). They don't get any inheritance on this earth. Mohammed said it was made for him and his moon god.

Want to see a *stealing killer* in action? Mohammed said that booty was made lawful for *him* but not lawful for those *before* him. That is, no prophet could steal people's wives and their children, after killing them and taking their land, until *he* showed up. My, what a righteous standard for judgment!

Finally, he gave himself the right of intercession for sinners on the day of resurrection. As we said before, who but a blankety-blank idiot would go to a demoniac *thief* for intercession in the "day of resurrection"?

Tell me something, reader, if you had to be hauled up to God Almighty right now (Allah) and give account for your deeds done in the body (2 Cor. 5:10), for **"every idle word"** you spoke (Matt. 12:36), plus your "secret sins" (Eccl. 12:14), and you needed an intercessor (**"an advocate"**—1 John 2:1), would you ask for *Mohammed* to intercede for you? What could he say for you or *himself* if he *could* intercede?

A fellow so stuck on himself that he went around saying “if you obey me you obey God” (Sura 4:80), and “the earth was made for me and God” (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. IV, no. 392), you would get him to intercede for you? Why, that same “prophet” said, “You won’t get into Paradise if you have the weight of a mustard seed of pride in you” (*Sahih Muslim*, Book I, Nos. 164–166, and pg. 105 of *The Sayings of Mohammed*, Allama Sir-Abdullah). That’s one of the “sayings of Mohammed.” That “sunnah” (saying) knocked him clean out of the “balances.” You’d have an egomaniac like that to intercede for you? He was a *demoniac megalomaniac* as bad as any Pope who ever lived.

Finally, this egotistical, psychotic, bewitched, epileptic, fornicating, illiterate military dictator said the prophets of “**the holy scriptures**” were sent only to *one nation*; but only he (Mohammed) was sent to *all mankind*.

Then why couldn’t he say, “**Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest**” (Matt. 11:28)? When Mohammed was born, why didn’t “Gabriel” say, “**I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to ALL PEOPLE. For unto you is born this day in the city of David a Saviour**” (Luke 2:10–11)? And why didn’t that blind “Allah” pick Mohammed to be the prophet to the Gentiles (Rom. 11:13, 15:16) if he (i.e., “Allah”) was for “all mankind.”

Why, Mohammed’s “Allah” didn’t even send him anywhere outside of Arabia. He never went; his armies went. Look at what the “Allah” of the New Testament said about Paul in Romans 15:16. “Allah” made Paul the prophet to *all* the Gentiles on six continents. The Gospel he preached was not just the record given in Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John. It was “**the gospel of the grace of God**” (Acts 20:24) which God revealed to him before sending him into Asia (Acts 19:10) and Europe.

Mohammed was "sent to all mankind" to do *what*? He never gave any of them tracts, he never prayed for any of them, he never witnessed to any of them, he never preached sermons to them, he never did any "personal work" with them. The truth is, his armies went out to kill them if they didn't accept Islam.

All the biographers of Allah's "prophet" say the following things: he was a commander-and-chief of fighting forces, he planned warfare, he led his troops into battle, he warred against and killed his fellow tribesmen (the Arabians), he ordered the killing of hundreds of Jews who refused to accept his commands and join his "community," he divided up the booty and took for his wives the widows whose husbands he had killed in battle, and he made slaves out of their children (*Behind the Veil*, Vol. II, pp. 77–91, Jacob Abd El Shafi, 2006). Then they have the nerve to say Mohammed spoke "in the name of God." His god had no name.

There he is—Mohammed. What was he? He was a vicious, brutal, conceited, fornicating military dictator; and he was no more a "prophet" than Mike Tyson, Joe Louis, Allan Greenspan, George Bush (either of them), Pope Benedict XVI, Mahatma Ghandi, Albert Einstein, or Danny Kaye.

Finally, all historians and biographers agree to the following Islamic propositions. Classic Islam divides the world into two areas: the world *at peace* and the world *at warfare*. What is "the world at peace"? Simple: it is where a nation's political government is controlled by Moslems and where Islam is *practiced* and the *Koran* is observed.

That's "the world at peace." But Moslems have been killing each other and other nations ever since Mohammed died. That's the world at peace—where Islam is practiced and the *Koran* is observed.

What is the second world ("the world of warfare")

and ignorance)? It is any country that isn't run by Moslems. So the divine mission of Islam, according to the Allah of the *Koran*, is to attack all the nations in the UN that are run by non-Moslems and bring them into submission to Mohammed, which is simply "Mohammedism"—Mohammed's religion. There it is. And that is how it's going to stay.

Does *that* sound like the "Allah" of the New Testament—the God and Father of Jesus Christ? Does *that* sound like Him? Why, that's the God of an Old Testament Jewish theocracy; that's what the UN calls "Zionism." It's the same Old Testament religion *stolen* from the Old Testament and "revised." In a theocracy, the *ruler* is a King, priest, and *warrior* like David, who kills non-Jews like Joshua and Moses did, and who has more than one wife like Solomon. So Islam is nothing at all but a counterfeit of the kingdom of Israel in the Old Testament, except this time a different "Allah" showed up who was as anti-Semitic as Adolf Hitler. The "Allah" of the Old Testament said when "Zionism" finally returns (Amos 9:11; Jer. 24:6; Deut. 33:29), it will be a global Jewish theocracy (Isa. 60:3–16) under a military Jewish dictator (Rev. 2:26–27).

So there it is! Voila! The "God" of the *Koran* turns out to be a religious hypocrite. The Bible, when speaking of "THE GOD" without a name, identifies him as "**the god of this world**"—Satan. That's his *name*. One "Allah" was the real one, and the other one ("**the god of this world**") was an *imposter*. Mohammed's worldwide national "Zionism" is found in Luke 4:5–6, and it's not Jewish Zionism at all because it's not "**of the Jews**" ("**salvation is of the Jews**"—John 4:22). It's Satanism (look at Rev. 13:4; Dan. 11:36; and 2 Thess. 2:4). That is "THE GOD" who said he wanted to be like God (Isa. 14:14) and liked to be called by God's title—"**Holy Father**" (John 17:11). That is "THE GOD" who took Christ's title,

**"the morning star,"** and had it printed in Isaiah 14:12 in the *New International Version* as his own name. That's "THE GOD" who wants to set up a theocracy with *himself* as "Allah" (THE GOD).

As we have said before, "there it lies like a rotten egg; you can't beat it but it sure stinks." Don't you worry your little head about the real "Allah" standing up. The God of the Old Testament has to stand up because He is now seated (Rev. 3:21; Psa. 80:1 cf. 99:1, 110:1). The Jews in the coming Tribulation (Jer. 30:7)—it has not yet taken place—say over and over again, "Arise Lord," "Let God arise and destroy his enemies" (e.g., Psa. 9:19, 44:23, 26, 82:8, 68:1). The real Allah *will* "stand up" when the phony Allah is cast down (Isa. 14:5, 15; Ezek. 21:25–27), and when He "stands up," it won't be just the earth that will shake under Him (Hab. 3:3–8; Isa. 24:3–6). He'll shake both the heavens and the earth (Heb. 12:26; Hag. 2:21); then all the world will know who the "real" Allah was. He was the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. He was **"manifest in the flesh"** as a man, and as a man He said, **"salvation is of the Jews"** (John 4:22).

That "Allah" never gave any Moslem (or Moham-medan or Arab) even an "honorable mention" when it came to worship, prayer, prophecy, moral codes, or salvation. Not once did THE GOD of Moses, Abraham, David, or Jesus Christ tell *anyone* to follow or even listen to any false prophet that taught what Mohammed taught.

Why should he? His rival (the "Allah" of the *Koran*) bragged about a *sinner* who said he *wasn't* sinless (Sura 40:55, 48:2, 47:19) and who said he didn't have the gift of prophecy (Sura 6:50, note 778), but who had the "gall" to look his whole nation in the face and tell them that the way to obey the God of the universe was to obey *him* (i.e., Mohammed—Sura 4:80). Then this despicable, *thieving LIAR* went around gouging out eyes with nails,

cutting off fingers, cutting off hands, cutting off feet, going to bed with a nine-year-old girl, marrying his daughter-in-law, killing Jews and making slaves out of their wives and children, while drinking camel's urine for good health (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 1, no. 234)

Then this incredible, *immoral*, Christ-rejecting “rag-head” claimed that God took the Old Testament Jewish theocracy away from the Jews *permanently* and gave it to a tribe of “camel jockeys” so they could rule the United Nations as the “chosen nation” among all mankind (Suras 6:74, 48:28, 6:165, notes 2160, 1767). This poor, blind, stumbling egomaniac actually thought he would convert all Catholics, Jews, Hindus, Buddhists, Taoists, humanists, and Satanists to believe in a moon god *that had no name* and couldn't give anyone on earth *assurance of salvation* until *after* the White Throne Judgment! “*Allah Akbar!*”

Face it! Nobody could buy a pile of religious bologna, pious garbage, spiritual dead shrimp, sacred rotten eggs, sacramental egg shells, “holy” coffee grounds like that as a “religion” without some monumental ulterior motives. The biggest motive was *sexual*, and the next one was *murder*.

Mohammed told his fellows how many adulteries “Allah” allowed for each man according to his gonads, and how to appear *devout* while killing people you didn't like. Not even the Christ-rejecting Pharisees who murdered God's Son (Acts 7:52) could pull off a stunt like that; they too appeared to be “religious” and thought they “did God a favor” when they *murdered His Son* (John 16:2). “Birds of a feather flock together.”

When the holy “Allah” of the Holy Bible stands up, all the other “Allahs” will not only get wiped off the earth, but out of the heavens as well (Jer. 10:10–12; Psa. 82:6–7). Their trashy “religious” books (if they ever existed) will go with them. Praise God! “Jesus Akbar!”

## Chapter 9

# God's Son Versus Allah's "Messenger"

In this chapter, we will take up some more comparisons of the two "Allahs." Throughout these, we will simply use the term "Allah" to refer to the God of the Old Testament—"Jehovah"—and His Son—named "**Jesus**" in the New Testament, of whom Thomas said, "**My Lord and my God**" (John 20:28). So we'll call *our* God "the God" ("Allah"), and we'll call Mohammed's moon god (with his three daughters) "the God" (*Allah*). Then we'll see if we can find any improvements that Mohammed's god (A.D. 600) makes over the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, and the Lord Jesus Christ (1500 B.C.–A.D. 90).

*"Will the real Allah please stand up?"*

We have been looking at two "Allah" in the Bible since the word *Allah* means simply "the God," as we have said already on dozens of occasions. "The God" (Allah), as presented in the *Koran*, doesn't come anywhere near the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, David, and Christ. This is perfectly apparent from the writings of David, Moses, and the prophets, and the speeches of Jesus Christ as recorded in Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John.

Still, our problem is how to find out which one of these "Gods" is the *right* God. For example, when Jesus Christ was on this earth, He warned you what would take place after Him. Then came along Mohammed after Him,



and everybody suddenly forgot what Jesus Christ said about what would take place after A.D. 33. "The God" who inspired Matthew to write, quotes Jesus Christ as saying that after He had gone back to His Father, that **"there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets"** and **"if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect"** (Matt. 24:24).

Again, the Lord Jesus said: **"many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many"** (Matt. 24:5); and **"many false prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many"** Matt. 24:11). Note: **"MANY"**!

How will one be able to spot a false prophet when he shows up? Well, according to "THE GOD" of the New Testament, it's the easiest thing in the world. If the prophet says "Allah" ("THE GOD") never had a Son, he is a false prophet. That's what "the God" said through his apostle, John, in the First Epistle of John: **"Many false prophets are gone out into the world. Hereby know ye the Spirit of God (here's the way to spot the Holy Spirit): Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God: And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is in the world"** (1 John 4:1-3).

Well, "Allah" ("THE GOD") told you in the New Testament that when Jesus Christ "came in the flesh," He was **"God . . . manifest in the flesh"** (1 Tim. 3:16). The "Allah" of the New Testament had a man write that whom He (i.e., "Allah") said was His "Apostle to the Gentiles" (Rom. 11:13). **"God was manifest in the flesh."** That is what the **"minister . . . to the Gentiles"** (Rom. 15:16) recorded. Mohammed never saw that "Allah" at all; but Peter, James, John, and Paul did.

It was by the inspiration of the Holy Spirit (whom the "Allah" of the *Koran* said was "Gabriel") that Paul was told to write down **"that God was in Christ"** (2 Cor. 5:19). This meant that when Jesus Christ came **"in the flesh,"** He was Jehovah God **"in the flesh."** Hence, Jehovah gave His name as **"Jesus"** —meaning "Jehovah saves."

So the whole world was given a warning about Mohammed and "Allah" more than 400 years before Mohammed's birth. **"We have seen and do testify that the Father** [that would be "Allah"] **sent the son to be the Saviour of the world"** (1John 4:15). The Apostle John went on to write in 1 John 4:15, *"Whosoever shall confess that Jesus is the Son of Allah."* Well, actually he said **"God,"** but the word *Allah* is supposed to mean "the God," so **"Son of God"** would be *"Son of Allah."* That is exactly what Billy Graham and Pope John Paul II said. Both said the God of the Christian was the God of Mohammed; He just had a different name. So I'm just quoting 1 John 4:15 like it should be read if they were both the same!

Here are some more passages from 1 John.

1 John 5:1-2, *"Whosoever believeth that Jesus is the Christ is born of Allah: and every one that loveth him that begat [i.e., "Allah"] loveth him also that is begotten of him [Allah]."* By this we know that we love the children of Allah, when we love Allah and keep his commandments."

1 John 5:4-5, *"For whatsoever is born of Allah overcometh the world . . . Who is he that overcometh the world, but he that believeth that Jesus is the Son of Allah"*

1 John 5:7, 9, *"There are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one . . . If we receive the witness*

*of men, the witness of Allah is greater: for this is the witness of Allah which he hath testified of his Son."*

"THE GOD" has a Son! Which "God"? The God of the New Testament—I'm reading the New Testament.

1 John 5:12–13, "*He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of Allah hath not life. These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of Allah; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of Allah.*"

1 John 5:20, "*And we know that the Son of Allah is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we may know him that is true, and we are in him that is true, even in his Son Jesus Christ. This the true Allah, and eternal life.*"

I simply called "**God**" in those verses by His *Moslem* "name." That's what the Pope and Billy Graham did. They swore it was the same God with a different name. So I read it in the way they'd read it. They said Jehovah and Allah were interchangeable.

You know what's wrong with the way I just read it? Why, it's obvious. Mohammed said that everyone would be damned if they believed that Allah had a Son. That was *blasphemy*, and you should be *killed* for blasphemy as an infidel. But what I quoted was "the God" talking through John. That's what "**the true God**" (1 John 5:20) had John write. That means that John went to Hell after he wrote—that is, if the *real* God was the one who had Gabriel recite the *Koran* to Mohammed.

I wonder *which* Allah is real? I know one thing: the Allah of the New Testament could raise His Son up from the dead and make Him "**the Saviour of the world**" (1 John 4:14). The "Allah" of the *Koran* couldn't get his messenger up. Mohammed stayed dead. Wouldn't you call that a "DIFFERENCE"?

The Allah of the New Testament could convert a dead prophet into an eternal Messiah. The Allah of the *Koran* couldn't do anything with any dead prophet but go to his funeral.

Now I will go to John 5 and try out this "Allah" again. This is the God of the New Testament, but every time it says "God," I will do like Billy Graham and the Popes did: I'll say, "It's the God I worship, so I can call him 'Allah' because that's just another name for Him." Here is Allah's Son in the New Testament!

John 5:19–23, "*Verily, verily, I say unto you, The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth Allah do . . . For the Allah loveth the Son . . . For as Allah raiseth up the dead, and quickeneth them; even so the Son quickeneth whom he will. For Allah judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son: That all men should honour the Son, even as they honour Allah. He that honoureth not the Son honoureth not Allah which hath sent him.*"

John 5:26–27, "*For as Allah hath life in himself; so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself; And hath given him authority to execute judgment also, because he is the Son of man.*"

John 5:42, "*But I know you, that ye have not the love of Allah in you. I am come in Allah's name, and ye receive me not . . . How can ye believe, which receive honour one of another, and seek not the honour that cometh from Allah only? Do not think that I will accuse you to Allah: there is one that accuseth you, even Moses, in whom ye trust. For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me.*"

Moses wrote of whom? This is Jesus talking, not Mohammed. Moses had to be writing about "Allah's" SON!

Now do you see what I did there? Every time there was a reference to God the Father I put in "Allah," because when Christ refers to **"the Father,"** He is certainly referring to "THE God." We continue with what Christ said about "the God" (Allah, according to Billy Graham and the Pope).

John 3:34–36, *"For he whom Allah hath sent speaketh the words of Allah: for Allah giveth not the Spirit by measure unto him. Allah loveth the Son, and hath given all things into his hand. He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life: and he that believeth not the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of Allah abideth on him."*

Those last two verses would put Mohammed in Hell. He didn't believe that Allah had a Son to "love." Mohammed didn't believe **"the Son"** had everlasting life from "Allah." Mohammed said, "If you believe Allah had a son, you are an infidel and should be killed (Sura 2:116, 72:3, 9:29–30, 5:17, 37:152, 23:89, 10:68–70).

John 1:18, *"No man has seen Allah at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of Allah, he hath declared him."*

If Jesus Christ had said that to Mohammed, Mohammed would have had Jesus killed on the spot, just like the Pharisees tried to do (John 10:31). Mohammed swore that "Allah" never had any son, and if you believed that he had a son, you were an *infidel* and a *blasphemer*.

Don't you see it? One "Allah" is constantly making a liar out of the other "Allah." I wonder whom the LIAR could be?

A blind man gets healed, and all the people see him walking and praising "Allah." Then Peter says, **"The God ["Allah"] of Abraham, and of Isaac, and of Jacob . . . hath glorified his Son Jesus; whom ye delivered up . . . And killed the Prince of Life, whom God ["Allah"]**

according to the Pope] **hath raised from the dead . . . And his name through faith in his name hath made this man strong**" (Acts 3:13, 15-16).

Acts 4:10, 12, "*Be it known unto you all, and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of Jesus Christ of Nazareth, whom ye crucified, whom Allah raised from the dead, even by him doth this man stand here before you whole . . . 'Neither is there salvation in any other: for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved.'*"

Now isn't that something? Salvation is in *one name*? The name of whom? Allah's Son? Why, Allah had no son according to the Gabriel who gave the *Koran* to Mohammed.

The "Allah" of the New Testament had one. Thomas said of "Allah's Son: **"My Lord and my God"** (John 20:28).

If that weren't enough, look at Hebrews 1:5-8, "*For unto which of the angels said he [i.e., Allah] at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son? And again, when he [Allah] bringeth in the first begotten into the world, he [Allah] saith, And let all the angels of Allah worship him . . . But unto the Son he [Allah] saith, Thy throne, O Allah, is for ever and ever.*"

How about that? Do you know what the "Allah" who gave you the New Testament said? He said: "*Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity; therefore Allah, even thy Allah, hath anointed thee with the oil of gladness above thy fellows.*" (Heb. 1:9).

*Will the real "Allah" please stand up?*

Mohammed's "Allah" must be a New Testament-rejecting, lying, blaspheming false prophet according to

the contents of the New Testament. The "Allah" of the *Koran* (whoever he was or wherever he was) never showed up; *our God did*. The Allah of the *Koran* completely rejected the entire revelation of both Testaments on the nature of "THE GOD" the *work* of "THE GOD," the work of the *Holy Spirit*, and *500 prophecies* of future history that are going to take place in the next 1,000 years. Either that is the truth, or else the "Allah" of the Old Testament lied all the way through 39 books.

The "Allah" of the Old Testament predicted a time when Israel would run the entire world, and when peace came, it would come to Jerusalem; not Rome, New York, Mecca, or Medina (Psa. 76:1–3). When it came, the Lord would take over this earth (Jer. 25; Isa. 24; Zech. 14) and would judge the world as *God's Son* on *David's throne*, showing why He had been called "**the Son of David.**"

Four hundred verses in the Old Testament inspired by "Allah" speak of these matters (see Zech. 9:10; Psa. 96:3, 66:3–4, 72:11, and 67:4). All of those things will take place long, long, long before any "last day" or any "last judgment" (see Psa. 46:6–7, 47:2–3, 67:4, 110:2, 96:10–13; Isa. 45:11–14, 63:1–6).

"Allah" becomes King of this whole earth in Psalm 47:7, 48:2, 7, a thousand years *before* any "last day" or "last judgment" ever takes place. This means the "Allah" of the *Koran* was as blind as a blind mole in a cellar at midnight. Now examine these references: Psalm 76:9, 12, 72:8, 11, 46:6, 98:9, 102:15, 114:7; Haggai 2:22; Isaiah 31:4, 17:13; Zechariah 8:2–3; and 1 Samuel 2:10.

You know what you were told there? In those verses, you were told there that "Allah" *has* a Son and His Son is the "**King of the Jews.**" You were told that "Allah" *had* a Son and His Son comes from the tribe of *Judah* as a Judean Jew. Those verses further tell you that the Judaeans

Jew who came **"in the flesh"** and suffered and died on Calvary's cross will be coming back to reign over the United Nations, and every Moslem on earth is going to hit the dirt and kiss His feet when He comes (Psa. 72:9, 2:12).

The "Allah" of the *Koran* has no information on these matters whatsoever. He is a stone deaf and dumb mute "god." The "Allah" of the Old Testament said that God was going to "turn the captivity" of the Jews (Deut. 30:3; Psa. 14:7, 126:1; Jer. 32:44, 29:14; Zeph. 2:7) and bring them into their own land and restore them to their **"former estate"** (Ezek. 16:55).

That is less than one tenth of the verses on the subject. The "Allah" of the *Koran* knows *nothing* about any of it, while denying that the Jews will ever be restored to their original political theocracy. Mohammed's "Allah" declares that the nations will get rid of the nation of Israel completely and replace it with a fictitious thing called "the Islamic Nation" (singular).

Now why he did that, nobody knows, because there is no such thing as "The Islamic Nation." As we have noted before (pg. 3), Islam is a religion. You can have Islamic nations like Libya, Morocco, Algeria, Egypt, Arabia, and Pakistan; but you can't have any "Islamic Nation" (singular) unless the whole world was converted to Islam. That is what the *Koran* prophesies according to "THE GOD."

Here is one "God" speaking: **"He that believeth not the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth upon him"** (John 3:36). Again He says, **"Whither I go, ye cannot come"** (John 8:21). And He went up into **"the third heaven"** (cf. 2 Cor. 12:2) to **"paradise"** (Rev. 2:7) in the **"new Jerusalem"** (Rev. 21:2).

Before He ascended, the "Allah" of the New Testament said, "I am going to send the Holy Spirit, and He is



going to convince all of you people down there of sin because they don't believe on my Son" (John 16:7-9).

Not a Moslem on this earth believes on His "Son." The "Jesus" they "honor" can be found nowhere in Matthew, Mark, Luke, or John. The one they "honor" is some character called "Isa" who was born under a palm tree and never died on any cross: that's the unknown, non-historical blank they honor according to "THE GOD" of Islam.

## Chapter 10

# Mohammed: The Fleshy Sex-Pot

*Will the real Allah please stand up?* We got two “on deck,” here, who are just as different as night from day.

The Allah of the *Koran* tells you that Sura 5:87 means that any *man* can have sex with any unmarried woman in return for a dress or some *money* (cf. Sura 4:24; *Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 60, no. 30); Mohammed called it “*a marriage of pleasure.*” In Sura 4:24, the “Allah” of the *Koran* sends Moslems a verse giving permission to men to have sex with any *female captive* taken in *war*. Did Jesus Christ tell his followers that He’d give them His enemies’ land and *their women*?

Jesus Christ said, “**Whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her hath committed adultery with her already in his heart**” (Matt. 5:28).

“Allah” picked one man to use as a mouthpiece for a 600-winged angel (the *Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 1, no. 386), and this man says (with Allah’s approval!) the following things. You’ll find the sources for all of these, along with their bibliography, in chapter 9 of *Behind the Veil*, Vol. 2, by Joseph Abd El Shafi.

“Women are the *cause* of an evil omen” (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 7, book 62, nos. 30–32). “Most of those who enter Hell are *women*” (see *Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 1, no. 161). “I have not left any affliction

more harmful to man than women" (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 7, no. 33). "Women have deficiency of faith and intelligence" (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. 1, no. 301).

If a man and a woman agree to marry, their "marriage" should last for *three nights*, and if they want to continue or separate they can do *either*.

Mohammed said that if he were "to tell anyone to *worship another*, he would advise a woman to worship her *husband*. He adds if any man asks his wife to come to his bed and she refuses and he slept angry, *the angel* will curse her."

Is that Allah's *prophet*? Is *that* "the prophet like unto Moses" that Allah told you was Jesus Christ (Acts 3:22-26)?

Concerning "wife beating," Mohammed said, "Hang the *whip* where your wives can see it (Sura 4:34)." Again, "If admonishing and sexual desertion fails to bring forth results and the woman is of a cold stubborn type the *Koran* bestows on man the right to straighten her out by punishment and *beating* provided he does not break bones or shed blood."

The *Koran* says in Sura 4:34, "As for these women, fear rebellion, admonish them and banish them to beds apart and *scourge* them."

In the *Holy Haddith*—the book used for Islam's "Sharias" (the laws) and the *Sunnahs* ("the sayings of Mohammed"), we find out "that the hand of the thief should be *amputated* if he stole anything worth a quarter of a dinar" (a quarter in Arabia which is worth about seven cents). Mohammed said, "May God curse the thief, if he steals an egg or a robe so his hand will be amputated."

"When it came to dealing with Jewish people, Muhammad said, 'Whoever finds a Jewish man should kill him.'" After he said that, "one of his friends, Muhisah,

went to a Jewish business man and killed him." That's a typical Biography of Muhammad by Ibn Hisham (1992, pg. 48, Vol. 3).

A Moslem journalist said that "State-sponsored preachers in some of our largest mosques continue to curse and call for the destruction of all *non-Muslims*, while delivering hate speeches against *women's rights*." That journalist who wrote that "was punished by *whipping* for exposing Islam." That is, he was whipped *for telling the truth*. Did you ever hear of the "Allah" of the Old Testament or the New Testament picking a man *like that* to teach his believers?

At the beginning of his wars, Mohammed said to his believers, "You see, God will soon make you inherit their land, their treasure and make you *sleep with their women*" (Al-Rawd Al-Anf, *Biography of Muhammad*, Vol. 2, pg. 182).

The "Allah" of the Old Testament said the earth was a "**circle**" (Isa. 40:22) which was in an "empty" space hanging on "**nothing**" (Job 26:7). The "Allah" of the New Testament had His Son say there could be day and night on the earth *at the same time* (Luke 17:31, 34). The *Koran* says the earth is *flat* (Sura 18:47, 91:6, 88:20, 71:19, 55:10, 51:48, 16:15, 20:53, 43:10, 79:30, 88:17-20, etc.).

The "Allah" of the New Testament said that Jesus Christ was crucified, buried, and raised from the dead. But along comes some pious religious dumpster 500 years after that saying that Allah "changed his mind" and had to send Mohammed to the whole earth to tell them that Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John were all *liars* (Matt. 27; Mark 15; Luke 23; John 19). It took Allah a little while to *wake up*, didn't it? The "Allah" of the New Testament said Jesus Christ died on the cross and described it in detail in both Testaments (see Psa. 22 and Isa. 53). Then

500 years later, after Christ went back to Glory, “Allah” changed his mind and said, “Oh, I was just kidding! Just forget what I said about the crucifixion in Psalm 22; Romans 5; Hebrews 10; Isaiah 53; and Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John. It didn’t really take place.”

Who’s lying? “Allah” or “Allah”? *Will the real Allah please stand up?*

Can you imagine believing the Allah of the *Koran* was telling the truth by listening to a paranoid, sex-obsessed killer, while overlooking Jesus Christ’s statement about Himself in Matthew? Jesus said, **“Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me, for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. For my yoke is easy, and my burden is light”** (Matt. 11:28–30).

Our Lord Jesus said, **“For I am meek and lowly in heart.”** Do you know what Mohammed said about *himself*? One day, he stood up on a pulpit and said—*this is Mohammed speaking*—“Allah created the human race and divided it into two groups. He placed *me* in the better of the two groups—the Arabs. Then he made them tribes, and he place *me* among the *best* of them—the Quraysh tribe. Then Allah made them houses, and he placed *me* in the noblest house and made *me* the *best person*: the BEST man in Arabia” (Dr. Said Al-Buti, *Fiqh Al-Sira*, 7th ed., pg. 50; Dr. Bint Al-Shati, *Daughters of the Prophet*, pg. 14).

Nice humble fellow, wasn’t he? Like Jesus Christ—who humbled Himself and came down as a servant and being found in the form of a servant died on the cross for sinners?

Here again is Mohammed’s “Allah” (“THE GOD”) of the *Koran*; this time he is getting Mohammed to say *“There is no compulsion in religion”* (Sura 2:256). In

absolute DENIAL of that verse in the *Koran*, look at Mohammed's biographers (Al-Halabi, *The Biography of the Prophet*, Vol. 3, pg. 397). Al-Halabi says that when God gave the sword to Mohammed, people embraced his religion *because of the fear of the sword*.

"The Moslems called upon the kings of Yemen and called them to accept Islam and they asked the ambassador that came with them, will you tell us what your tribe did? He told them. They followed Mohammed and 'desired the faith' *because they feared the sword*." Mohammed's "ambassador" said, "If you do not become Moslems today and follow Mohammed, the horses will step on you and your people. Convert to Islam and you will be safe and no horse or man will attack you."

"No compulsion in religion"? No believer in the *Koran* believed in *that verse*. All Moslem readers used Islam as a *threat*.

In a biography about Mohammed, "Shiek Al-Khudari writes: a crowd of Arabs came and killed one of Muhammad's friends. Muhammad sent twenty knights and arrested them. They brought them to Muhammad who ordered to mutilate them [while they were still alive]. Their hands and their feet were cut off. Then their eyes were uprooted by nail. Later they were thrown in a stony tract until they died."

They begged for water but Mohammed denied it to them. Mohammed himself "heated the nails by fire, put them in their eyes, and uprooted them from their heads."

*That* is Allah's prophet. *That's* his "holy" prophet. "There is no God but THE GOD, and Mohammed is his prophet!" (the Shahada). *That's* Allah's "apostle" and "messenger" to mankind.

Here are further nice "bedtime" stories from "THE GOD" and his "prophet." Mohammed "ordered Zayed Ibn Haritha to kill Fatima [Ibid., Vol. 2, pg. 127] who

was well known by Um Kirfa. *He tortured her to death.* He tied her two legs with two ropes [each leg in a rope], and then tied the ropes to two camels . . . and drove them away in opposite direction, until they tore her into two parts” (Al-Tabari, *History of the Nations and the Kings*, Vol. 2, pg. 127).

Then god’s “*holy*” prophet (Mohammed) took her daughter to be his *sex slave*. My, doesn’t Allah have some *holy*, spiritual, religious prophets? My, what a *holy*, pious god “Allah” is!

Another biographer (Al-Suhayli, *Al-Rawd Al-Anf*, Vol. 4, pp. 237, 252) writes that Mohammed commanded “Zaydn Ibn Haritha to raid the children of Fazar. He killed them in the valley and captured Fatima . . . and her daughter. Then Muhammad ordered Zaydn Ibn Haritha to kill Fatima because she used to *curse Muhammad*. He brutally killed her as he tied her to two camels and made them run until she died.”

In wiping out all of the Jews, “he brought the [Jewish] men in groups of tens and *beheaded them* and threw their heads into the trench.”

“During the massacre, the Jewish women cried, tore their clothes, beat their cheeks, shred their hair, and filled the town with their mourning.”

Tell me something. *About whom am I reading?* Am I reading about Adolf Hitler or Allah?

Mohammed and his followers watched the continuing slaughter of the Jews, both the young and old, until they were all killed; after that, the Moslems started to divide the Jewish women and children to make them to be concubines and slaves.

Mohammed got first choice of the most beautiful of the women prisoners. “He told her instead of becoming my slave, I’ll free you and marry you. She *declined* and told him ‘I’d rather you keep me as a slave in your pos-

session, which would be easier for you and for me. She said this because he killed her father and her brother. He treated her as a bond slave and had sex with her whenever he wished"—along with his other fourteen wives and ten concubines.

And there you have the perfect "role model" for all of Allah's followers to follow in the next 1,400 years to build up the "*Islamic Nation*." Here is the male role model for all the males in Iraq, Arabia, Syria, Egypt, Iran, and Lebanon. Imagine for a minute (if you can) this description of Allah's "prophet" in the *Koran* (Mohammed) compared with "Allah's" Prophet in the *New Testament* (Jesus Christ). The sources for the information below are: the *Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, vol. 4, no. 148; vol. 7, nos. 176–177; vol. 8, no. 103; *Jurisprudence in Muhammad's Biography*, Vol. 3, by Dr. M. Said R. Al-Buti (pg. 501); and *Al-Rawd Al-Anf*, Vol. 2, by Al-Suhayli (pp. 290–291).

"A man from the children of Zuraya . . . bewitched the apostle of God so that the apostle of God used to *imagine* that he did certain things while in fact *he did not*. He used to even believe that he had intercourse with [his] wives, while in fact, he *did not*. Sufyan said that is the worst kind of sorcery."

Mohammed was afflicted "with this sorcery after twenty years" of his "prophesying." "That is, he was sixty years old by then. This matter is a well-known fact."

"Labid ibn Al-A'san from the children Zuraya *bewitched* Muhammad. This matter is a well-known *fact* among the [Arabian] people and confirmed among traditionalists."

Allah's "apostle . . . was bewitched for a year . . . . The number of knots of the spell was eleven. God sent down two *Quranic* Surahs (113, 114) of eleven verses, so each verse will untie one knot of the spell."



Does that sound like “Allah’s prophet” in the *Sermon on the Mount*? Or in John 17?

All this material is available. You have Mohammed’s sayings supposedly written by Muhammad Al Bukhari and Muslim Ibn Al Hagag who were “two ancient Moslem scholars.” These works, the “*Sahih Al-Bukhari and Sahih Muslim*,” are called Sahihan, which means *authenticity* and *sound tradition*.” (Bukhari’s is supposedly to be the best “*Holy Hadith*” in print.)

“Concerning Muhammad’s biography and deeds, the book *The Biography of the Prophet*, written by Ibn Hisham is considered the most authentic and reliable source by all contemporary Muslim scholars, as stated in the popular book, *The Jurisprudence of Muhammad’s Biography*, written by Dr. Ramadan al-Buti.”

So here is this sex-crazy torturer—this absent-minded, *bewitched*, sadistic, fornicating *slave owner*; this illiterate, epileptic polygamist; this murdering, killing military dictator—who turns out to be the God of the universe’s choice for a “*holy apostle*” and holy “messenger,” whose *name* is to be “blessed forever”!! Who would call a scumbucket like that “blessed”? Would Allah? Which “Allah”? The one who said, “**This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased**” (Matt. 3:17), or the one who gave Mohammed *Koranic* verses at times when he *needed* them to justify polygamy, slavery, slaughter, torture, and fornication? *Will the real “Allah” please stand up?*

If I wanted to find the real “Allah,” I wouldn’t have any trouble at all. Do you know what I’d do? I’d give “Allah” a test in mathematics. I’d find out immediately if either of them had a brain in his head by a simple expedient. I would find out what they knew about *history on this earth in the future*. If they didn’t know anything about future history, they obviously were not “God” or even any “kin” to any god or to anyone who knew any-

thing about "god."

We are told: **"the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy."** That's what "Allah" said in the New Testament (Rev. 19:10).

All Moslems declare that God is "all knowing" because the *Koran* says this about "Allah" several dozen times. Well all right, let's give him a history quiz, okay? Let us study him to see what he knew. If I find out he didn't know any more about future history than I know, I'd know what to think about him. He must not be any kind of a "god." He must be a poor, lost sinner just like me! Surely he would know more than I'd know, wouldn't he?

Well, I get me five different editions of the *Koran*—three of them in Arabic—with commentaries by the most highly educated Imams Islam ever produced, and I read through the *Koranic* text twelve times. And what do I find? I find that neither "Allah" nor Gabriel nor Mohammed had any more idea of what would take place after A.D. 600—even in their own nation, in their own cities, among their own people, let alone the whole world—than the Three Stooges or the Marx Brothers. I am forced by FACTS to assume the three of them together were just a bunch of bull-shooters trying to fool a bunch of dumb Bedouins.

The real "Allah" would have to know **"the end from the beginning"** (Isa. 46:10 cf., Rev. 22:13) because he would have to be eternal (Isa. 57:15). The real "Allah," if he were eternal, would know all history before it took place. The real "Allah" would know time from the past to the present to the future as one unit (Isa. 41:22, 42:9, 44:7–8, 45:11, 21, 46:10). The "Allah" of the Old Testament selected the Bible's Major Prophets and Minor Prophets, and then picked out prophets who were not in the Major and Minor Prophets; He prophesied through

men who weren't prophets by nature of calling (Amos 7:14–15) and then finally said that if any sinner is saved, he has **“the spirit of prophecy”** (Rev. 19:10).

Let's check out Mohammed's prophecies to see if he knew what he was talking about. I checked the Old Testament prophets more than *160 times*. I found 48 prophecies on the First Coming of Christ that were written between 400—1,500 years before He was born. They come to pass in detail. When I went to the “Allah” of the *Koran*, I found out he was a “deaf mute.” He couldn't give anyone even ten prophecies through his “prophet.” When the Allah of the *Koran* tried to prove he knew about Mohammed coming, do you know what he told Gabriel to tell Mohammed? He had Mohammed turn to Genesis 49:10 and told him, “That is a prophecy for your coming.”

Look at the verse: **“The sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh come; and unto him shall the gathering of the people be”** (Gen. 49:10).

Mohammed was never connected with *Judah* at any time. Judah was one of the tribes of Israel from Jacob. The reference to **“Shiloh”** coming is a reference to the “peaceful one” (like *Shalom*). The peaceful one is a descendent of **“Judah.”** Mohammed never came from Judah! *Jesus Christ* came from Judah. Mohammed wasn't even a *Jew*.

I checked out Mohammed's “Allah” a little further and found Gabriel telling Mohammed to mark out Mark 1:7. It was supposed to be Allah's reference to Mohammed coming *after* John the Baptist, but the verse was actually John the Baptist himself speaking of *Christ's* coming! Jesus Christ didn't say Mark 1:7 referred to *Mohammed's* coming. John said it was referring to Jesus Christ, according to Matthew 3:11–14; Luke 3:16–17;

and John 1:25–27. There's something terribly perverse about the "Allah" of the *Koran*. He evidently can't read third-grade Arabic or English.

The poor, perverse Moslems said that Jerusalem was not going to be the "**holy city**" anymore (see Neh. 11:1; Isa. 52:1; Dan. 9:24) because Mecca was going to be the "holy city." Proof? Why, they quote John 4:21! Look at the passage! Jesus Christ was saying that true and acceptable worship doesn't depend upon any place where it is offered, but in the spiritual state of the worshippers. "Allah" must have been illiterate like his prophet, unless, maybe, "Allah" wasn't even there at all and Mohammed was just talking to himself.

Finally, the "**Spirit of truth**" (called "**the Holy Ghost**" in John 14:16–17, 26) is said to be *Mohammed*. All Moslems swear by that. But that is absolutely impossible.

In the first place, the Greek for it (*παράκλητον*) doesn't point to any fornicating killer like Mohammed. The word *paraclete* means a "helper," "comforter," an "advocate." But "that ain't the worst of it." The word *paraclete* is applied directly to the *Holy Spirit* in John 14:16–17, 26, 15:26, and 16:7; it refers to *Christ* in 1 John 2:1. The Holy Spirit was said to  *dwell with* the disciples and would soon be *inside* them (John 14:17, 16:13–14). Now how could "**the Comforter**" have been Mohammed when he was sent by Jesus Christ and entered the bodies of people who trust in *Christ*? Are they telling you that Jesus Christ sent Mohammed to "indwell" you? The Holy Spirit does indwell every believer (1 Cor. 6:19).

Now apply the *Koran*. You should be baptized "in the name of the Father and the Son and Mohammed." You should not grieve Mohammed because you are "sealed by Mohammed to the day of redemption." The Allah of

the *Koran* has got a mental problem.

The Allah of the *Koran* said that Mohammed was the prophet with the *sword*; therefore, Psalm 45:3–5 is a reference to the Lord telling Mohammed to go gird on his sword. But look at Psalm 45:6—**“Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever”**; that’s quoted in Hebrews 1:8 as Jesus Christ being addressed as God. Look at Psalm 45:3—**“O most mighty.”** It couldn’t possibly be a reference to Mohammed (see Isa. 1:24, 9:6, 30:29, 49:26, 60:16). “Allah” didn’t know Mohammed was coming before, during, or after he sent him. “Gabriel” is just taking passages out of the Holy Bible in the Old Testament and misapplying them.

In the Old Testament, the Arabian “Allah” said (in Isa. 21:7), “A chariot of *donkeys* and a chariot of camels.” The Moslems say the words “a chariot of donkeys” in the verse is a prediction of the First Coming of Jesus Christ, although He didn’t have any chariot and never rode on a camel. Mohammed was the one who always rode on camels. But all of that has nothing to do with the prophecy at all, for the whole prophecy had to do with *the fall of Babylon*. The “Allah” of the *Koran* can’t get one prophecy straight any time in history, and he has to borrow from the Old Testament again and again to prove nothing but irrelevant NONSENSE. If that weren’t enough, Moses wrote Genesis 37–50 (and according to Mohammed [see p. 14], Moses was sinless like the rest of the prophets), and he records more than fifty details of Christ’s life which match exactly the material given in the life of Joseph who died more than 1,600 years before Jesus ever showed up.

**“The testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.”**

The Allah of the *Koran* gave you a “prophet” (Mohammed) who couldn’t prophesy *anything*, and the only

proof he could show anyone to prove he was a prophet was a *hairy mole* on his back (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. I, 189; Vol. IV, 741; Sura 33:40). That was "THE GOD'S" idea of a *prophet*. Mohammed couldn't prophesy anything that took place in history. Once in a while he'd guess he'd win a battle, and that was it.

You say, "Did Mohammed know where he was going when he died?" Of course he didn't; he was *Allah's prophet!* Do you think "Allah" would tell any Moslem prophet where that prophet was going when he died? Well, the "Allah" of the Bible would and did in 2 Samuel 23:5; 2 Kings 2:1-11; 1 Peter 1:4-9; 2 Timothy 4:6-8; and John 14:1-3. But the "Allah" of the *Koran*? Why, he couldn't give his own prophet (Mohammed) any assurance at all, so he never had any.

Face it, one of these "Allahs" doesn't know what's going on—anywhere. If he did, he never tells it to his "prophet"—*if he had a prophet*. But further, he never told it to Gabriel—or Gabriel didn't tell it to his prophet.

*Will the real Allah please stand up?*

"The God" I know is "the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob" and the "**God of Israel**" (Exod. 24:10, 34:23; Num. 16:9; Josh. 22:16; 1 Sam. 1:17; etc.), and He calls Himself "**the God of Jacob**" in the *prophets* (Psa. 46:7, 76:6, 146:5; Isa. 2:3; Mic. 4:2; etc.). He is also "**the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ**" (2 Cor. 11:31; Eph. 1:3; 1 Pet. 1:3). That's the "*Holy Allah*." I know Him and I know His Son. His Son died for me. You know how I know He is "THE GOD" ("Allah")? Because He knew and recorded in writing 500-900 things about *future history* about which Mohammed's "Allah" knew nothing at all. What Mohammed's "Allah" could tell me about the past (that was correct), he took from the Old and New Testaments. What was incorrect, he made up himself, or else Gabriel made it up.

That's why those of us who know the real Allah—**“the true God”** (1 John 5:20)— would never waste five seconds trusting any “God” who couldn't tell us what's going to happen to the UN, the Arab republics, the weather, the “Islamic Nation,” the inhabitants of Asia, Africa, and Europe, the future of nature, celestial phenomena, the future of Jerusalem and the land of Palestine, the eternal condition of the believer, the future of the unbeliever, the future of the earth (2 Pet. 3:10–13), and the future of the universe (Rev. 20–22).

Our “Allah” is *“the Allah of Allahs”*; that's why He is called the **“God of gods”** (Dan. 2:47). Our “Allah” is **“King of kings, and Lord of lords”** (1 Tim. 6:15), and **“God of gods”** (Deut. 10:17; Psa. 136:2; Dan 11:36). He has no near competitor anywhere in Heaven or on earth; He never did have and never will have.

*Will the real Allah please stand up?*

He's already standing. He stood up before Genesis 1:1, and He'll be standing when the New Heaven and the New Earth begin. Ask Him to stand up so you can know *which* “Allah” is the right one. He will surely stand up and stand out (Psa. 82:8, 78:65).

In the meantime, He sits on the throne of the universe as a sovereign God in eternity, where the heavens and the earth are the work of His hands (Psa. 19:1, 28:5, 115:15). He is an omnipotent, omnipresent, omniscient, eternal, infallible Holy Spirit. When He comes back to this earth, He will sit down on **“the throne of David”** (Isa. 9:7 cf. Luke 1:32–33) on top of the Mosque of Omar in a Millennial Temple and will declare that all of the Islamic nations that won't obey Him and His Jewish people will be wiped off the face of this earth (Zech. 14:16–21; Isa. 11:12–16).

That's the *real* “Allah of Allahs.” The one who supposedly got “Gabriel” to dictate something to an epileptic,

illiterate, sex-obsessed neurotic turns out to be a perfect, pious, religious *blank*. Anybody could see it if they studied both books.

The Holy Bible does what no Twenty-first-Century mathematician could do, including Einstein or anybody that followed him. Nor could it be done on a computer with or without any "scientific advancement" in the last 800 years. I am going to show you what that Bible does that puts all Twenty-first-Century religious books in the shade. Mohammed's "Allah" would never attempt to do such a thing; but Jesus Christ's "Allah" did, and Moses' "Allah" did, and Jacob's "Allah" did.

If "Allah" means "The God," the God of the Old and New Testament did the equivalent of what I am getting ready to try to do, and He accomplished it and put it in print.

What that *Holy Bible* does, in effect, is equivalent to me saying this: "In the year 2028, a man will be born at 2116 St. Stevens Road, Minneapolis, Minnesota. His name will be Clyde Fuller. He will be born at 6:00 a.m. on a Tuesday morning in the St. Francis Hospital. He will then live to be 25 years and seven months old, and he will be killed in a car wreck in downtown New Orleans, near the Roosevelt Hotel at 3:30 in the afternoon. It will take place in a crash between his Corvette and an eighteen-wheeler. He will be buried in a grave plot in Rose Lawn Cemetery outside New Orleans, and his funeral expenses will cost \$2,589,000. There will be 45 people attending the funeral. He will leave behind him a wife and four children."

Now, I didn't even give you twenty prophecies there, but the chances of those seventeen prophecies I gave taking place *exactly* as I gave them are less than 1 out of 10 with 50 zeros after it ( $10^{50}$ ). The Holy Bible triples that.

The Bible gives you 48 prophecies on one man that were written 400—1,500 years before He was born. Ev-



eryone of them takes place exactly as predicted. The odds of that is ten with 157 zeroes after it; there are not that many electrons in three universes the size of this one (Peter Stoner, *Science Speaks*). There are still 500 prophecies about the same man that are still future. If the statistics hold the same, then the chances of those 500 taking place would have to be well over ten with 1,500 zeroes after it.

That is what the real "Allah" *did* when He wrote His *Book*. The fake Allah couldn't even make two historical prophecies about anything or anybody in 114 chapters. It is this that explains why all devout Moslems, of any kind, are hair-trigger-set, at a moment's notice, to *kill* anybody on this earth who makes fun of their "Allah" or his "prophet."

The ghastly truth is that neither one of them (plus Gabriel) could produce "the goods" when "put on the spot." When demanded to produce scientific, mathematical proof, they have nothing to offer at all; just a lot of pious baloney. The only parts of the *Koran* that are correct are *stolen* from the Holy Bible, and the rest of it is just pious, pagan claptrap.

The only defense for such a weak, corrupt display of religious ignorance is a shotgun, a whip, a knife, a dagger, a bomb, a mortar, a gun, or a strangling rope. That's the only way you can defend such a "religion." It is defenseless, and that's why Moslems have to kill to defend it. The *Koran* presents to you a "God" that has no name but just "THE GOD," while the "Allah" of the Old Testament gives you His name and the "Allah" of the New Testament gives you His name.

The *Koran* teaches that the god of Mohammed (the "Allah" of Mohammed) was the God of Abraham, so Abraham was a Moslem. But the "Allah" of the Old Testament gave Abraham's son Isaac, his grandson Jacob, and

his great grandsons (the twelve sons of Jacob), *the Middle East for a possession*. The "Allah" in Genesis to Malachi made it perfectly clear that no Catholic, no Moslem, no Arabian, nor any "Palestinian" would be given one foot of it. The "Allah" that gave the Middle East to Abraham (Gen. 15:18–21) told you that He gave the same piece of land to Abraham's son (Gen. 17:19, 21:10–12) and his grandson (Gen. 28:13–14) and the twelve children of his grandson (Num. 24:9, 26:55, 27:12; Deut. 1:8, 19:8, 28:11). That is why the "Allah" of the Old Testament called Himself the "Allah of *Jacob*" (see pg. 90).

When the God of the Old and New Testaments said "**salvation is of the Jews**" (John 4:22), that showed that *all Moslems are excluded*. Further, there isn't a Roman Catholic in the bunch. Nobody who brought salvation to sinners has any connection with an Arabian, Turk, Ethiopian, Egyptian, Iraqi, Lebanese, Italian, German, Spaniard, Frenchman, Portuguese, Russian, Englishman, Scotchman, Japanese, Chinese, or South, Central, or North American. The "Allah" of the New Testament said "**salvation is of the Jews.**" The Allah of the New Testament, after telling you that "**salvation is of the Jews,**" told the whole world that it can only be obtained through the name of a *Jewish Jew* from the tribe of Judah (Acts 4:12; Phil. 2:9–11).

That's not the "Allah" of the *Koran*. The "Allah" of the *Koran* says that the man Christ Jesus, who spoke those words in John 4, was a bald-faced liar, and when the chief of the twelve apostles (Simon Peter) spoke the words you find in Acts 3:22–26, he was a bald-faced liar.

The Allah of the *Koran* taught Moslems to believe all angels had wings—sometimes two and sometimes three (Sura 35:1), and one time (Gabriel) 600 (*Holy Hadith*, Bukhari, Vol. VI, no. 380). That is NOT the "Allah" who wrote the Old Testament. The "Allah" that wrote the Old

Testament said that *no angel has any wings* (Judg. 13:3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13 cf. Heb. 13:2). Cherubims and seraphims have wings (Ezek. 1:6–9; Isa. 6:2; Rev. 4:8), but every angel about which “THE GOD” ever talked or wrote in 31,102 verses was a *young man* (Rev. 21:17; Acts 1:10).

*Will the real Allah please stand up?*

Moslems tell you that Moslems believe in “sacred books” which include the *Torah*—that’s the first five books of Moses. They also lie when they say the Gospels are sacred. That is nonsense because the Gospels are four different books which all claim “*THE GOD*” had a Son. “THE” Gospel is not a *book*; it is defined in Acts 20:24 and 1 Corinthians 15:1–4.

Evidently, the “Allah” of the *Koran* didn’t know what “THE Gospel” was. The “Allah” of the Old and New Testaments revealed *ten “gospels,”* and Allah didn’t know any one of them, although he was supposed to be “all-knowing.”

There are ten gospels that “Allah” recorded more than 400 years before Mohammed’s mother was born. There’s *The Gospel of Matthew*, *The Gospel of Mark*, *The Gospel of Luke*, and *The Gospel of John*. When Christ died, there was a gospel He preached to the Old Testament saints in Paradise (1 Pet. 4:6). There was a *gospel* that was given to the spies of Israel back in Numbers 13, which is found in Hebrews 3:15–4:2. There was a *gospel* that was preached to Abraham back in Genesis 18:18, 22:18 according to Galatians 3:8. There was the *Gospel* which Paul called “**my gospel**” (Rom. 2:16) and the “**the gospel of the grace of God**” (Acts 20:24). There’s “**the gospel of the kingdom**” (Matt. 4:23), and there’s “**the everlasting gospel**” (Rev. 14:6). That is ten Gospels. The “Allah” of the *Koran* couldn’t find one of them.

The real problem with the Allah of the *Koran* is

simple: how did he get so *stupid*, or if he knew about these things, why did he not adopt a "prophet" to represent himself so that you could learn *the truth*? The most monumental and impressive thing any reader finds out about Allah, when reading and comparing the *Koran* and the "BOOK" is how utterly *ignorant* he is of man, nature, history, sin, and salvation. Did Allah have the same trouble that Mohammed had when it came to *reading*? Was he *illiterate*?

Moslems confess belief in the Old Testament prophets, which include Moses, yet Moses was the prophet who said that after the Jews have been in dispersion (A.D. 70–2010) and have been nearly wiped out (Mal. 3:6; Deut. 32:23–27) that "Allah" is going to bring them *back into their land as a nation* (Ezek. 37, 40–48; Zech. 14; Isa. 65–66) and set them up a *kingdom on this earth*: a literal, visible, physical, political, Zionism kingdom (Isa. 60:5–14, 45:14, 49:23). *That* nation will eradicate the UN and every "Islamic Nation" connected with it (Isa. 60:12) in less than a week!

## Chapter 11

# A Summary of “Allah” and the Koran

No Moslem likes to be called a “Mohammedan.” They like to be called Moslems in “submission” or obedience to “Allah,” so they made up the name. Truthfully, as we have noted, Allah is not a name at all; it just simply means “THE GOD.” That “god” never told Mohammed or any Moslem what his name was; he was just called “THE GOD.” That is what he was called himself when he was connected with the Ka’aba 200 years before Mohammed’s father was born.

The idea of “submission” (Islam) to a nameless god, of course, is absolutely ridiculous, because the *Koran* states clearly that if you obey *Mohammed*, you obey *God*. That is in Sura 4:80, which reads as follows (I’m reading Sura 4:80, in any edition of the *Koran*, in any language): “Whoever obeys the *Messenger*, he indeed obeys *Allah*.” And then again in Sura 4:14, “Whoever disobeys *Allah and His Messenger*, he will make him enter fire to abide in it.”

The term “*Messenger*,” in this passage, is not a reference to anybody but the *speaker himself*. It is Mohammed who said: “whoever obeys the Messenger, he indeed obeys Allah.” And he didn’t get that from any *Koran*. Someone else wrote that down after Mohammed said it. Later it was called the *Koran* after Mohammed was dead. Of course, none of the authors of the *Koran* are listed anywhere in the *Koran*. Unlike in the Bible, in

114 chapters of the *Koran*, not a single writer of a single verse is identified; not a one of them. You can read 114 chapters and never find out anywhere who wrote one line of the *Koran*, because it was written by people who took it at Mohammed's dictation. If you get the articles we have written on this subject in the *Bible Believers' Bulletin*, you could see that some of these men *forgot* what he said, misread what he said, and even claimed he said things he didn't say. But all editions of the *Koran* say: "whoever obeys Mohammed obeys God." The thing is, when you read "whoever obeys the Messenger," it makes you think it's somebody else; it makes you think someone else is saying, "If you obey the Messenger. you obey Allah." But it is NOT. It is Mohammed himself who claims to be Allah's "Messenger."

In the Shahada, the Moslems are more crooked and say, "There is no God but the God and Mohammed is his *Prophet*." Throughout the *Koran* he is called Allah's "Messenger." Called that by whom? Why, Mohammed is calling himself that! Whoever wrote down "whoever obeys the Messenger indeed obeys Allah," didn't get it from any book anybody wrote—including Allah or Gabriel or Mohammed. He got it out of Mohammed's mouth.

Unfortunately, Mohammed was such a coward that he couldn't identify himself; neither did his "god"! If he had, he would have revealed that fact that *he* was a polytheist, for in Sura 4:80, he gave *himself* the same power and authority that he gave to Allah. Note: if he had given you the Sura and verse correctly (4:80), he would have said, "Whoever obeys *me* he indeed obeys the God." That's what the verse means.

Mohammed was the one who quoted it for someone else to write down, and then he called it the *Koran*. "Whoever obeys ME obeys Allah." He just disguised himself as "the Messenger." He was talking about himself as an any-

mous third person singlar.

This explains why you often find us making references to the *Koran*, because it is Mohammed's competitor with the Bible. Jesus says "ME" when He refers to His power and authority (John 5:40, 6:47, 14:9; Matt. 11:28). The *Koran* says if you obey an epileptic, fornicating, illiterate egomaniac (who practiced pederasty, polygamy, and slavery) that you are obeying the ONE TRUE GOD. That's what the *Koran* says in all editions in any language ever published (Sura 4:80).

## Chapter 12

# Islam and Women

Now with everything in view which we have just examined in the previous chapters, we have taken “time out” to be broadminded and “multicultural” and “dialogue” with the *Koran* to see what it says about *women’s rights*. That’s the big thing in America today, so we should be well informed.

Do you suppose a woman could ever be a “Caliph” in Iraq, Iran, Arabia, Libya, Algeria, the Sudan, Morocco, Indonesia, or Turkey? Of course not! You say, “Why not?” Well, you don’t understand “women’s rights” in the *Koran*. You see, every one of those countries’ State constitutions are based on the *Koran*, the *Hadith*, and the *Sharias* (the *Sharias* are the government laws backed by the government’s “justice departments”; they are all taken from the “sayings of Mohammed” [the *Sunnahs*] and the *Holy Hadith* and the *Holy Koran*).

Now God knows there’s enough about women in the Bible. I mean, the first person who spoke of “**redemption**” and salvation to those in Jerusalem was a woman: “**Anna, a “prophetess”** (Luke 2:36–38). Many citizens followed Christ to the cross and among that mob there were many “**women**” (Luke 23:27, 49). That isn’t all, the first people who announced Christ’s resurrection publicly and witnessed to it were *women* (Matt. 28:1–8; Luke 23:55–24:10).

The Bible has plenty to say about women. So does the *Koran*, and so do the *Sharias*—the laws set up by the



Moslems who swear by the *Koran*. So we will take the so-called "*Holy Koran*" and put it alongside the Holy Bible and see what we can learn about "women's rights."

First of all, we look at the New Testament. One must remember that the New Testament has many advanced revelations not given in the Old Testament, because **"the law was given by Moses, but grace and truth came by Jesus Christ"** (John 1:17). Here's what the New Testament says about women and their "rights":

**"I commend unto you Phebe our sister, which is a servant of the church which is at Cenchrea . . . Greet Priscilla and Aquila my helpers in Christ Jesus . . . Greet Mary, who bestowed much labour on us"** (Rom. 16:1, 3, 6).

**"Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it . . . So ought men to love their wives as their own bodies. He that loveth his wife loveth himself . . . For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and shall be joined unto his wife, and they two shall be one flesh"** (Eph. 5:25, 28, 31).

**"In like manner also, that women adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefacedness and sobriety; not with broided hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array; But (which becometh women professing godliness) with good works. Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence"** (1 Tim. 2:9-12).

**"Likewise, ye husbands, dwell with them according to knowledge, giving honour unto the wife, as unto the weaker vessel, and as being heirs together of the grace of life; that your prayers be not hindered"** (1 Pet. 3:7).

**"Husbands, love your wives, and be not bitter**

against them" (Col. 3:19).

**"Let the husband render unto the wife due benevolence and likewise also the wife unto the husband" (1 Cor. 7:3).**

**"For a man indeed ought not to cover his head, forasmuch as he is the image and glory of God: but the woman is the glory of man" (1 Cor. 11:7).**

And of course, there are many more.

After reading these revelations, let's go to the phony "Islamic Nation"—which is *not* a "nation" but a religion—and see what comes from a religion that Mohammed *invented* and then tried to get his followers not to call it after *him*, even though they called "Christians" after "Christ." You are not allowed to call a Mohammedan a "Mohammedan"; you are to say "Moslem." But as we have seen from the *Holy Koran* (Sura 4:80), submission to Mohammed is submission to Allah, and submission to Allah is submission to Mohammed. Therefore, it is entirely CORRECT to refer to all Moslems as Mohammedans and "Islam" as "Mohammedanism."

Mohammadeanism presents "womens' rights" as follows, according to the Sharias and all of the Caliphs and Sheiks and Ulamas and Imams. These are "women's rights" according to the "Islamic Nation," if that nation uses the *Koran* as a "constitution." These are the statements that tell you what a woman is and what she deserves, along with her privileges and "rights." You'll find these given in a work called *Women in Islam* by M. Rafiqul Haqq and P. Newton. It was published in 1992 by Berean Call, Bend, Oregon.

This is how women will be treated if Islam becomes "the fastest growing religion in the world." Here's what will happen to you American ladies who are reading this book.

Number 1: Men are vastly *superior* to women. The

official Arabic sources in all of their official works give the following citations in the *Koran* as plainly teaching men are *superior* to women—Sura 2:228, 4:34. The famous Arabic commentator Ibn Kathir says that Sura 4:34 in the *Koran* teaches “Men are superior to women, and a man is *better* than a woman.” That is an official judgment by an official commentator: a thoroughbred, 100% devout Mohammedan.

Razi, another Koranic commentator, teaches Sura 4:11 as follows: “The male is mentioned first in [Sura] 4:11 because the male is *better* than the female.”

Modern devout Moslems Tuffaha, Ahmad Zaky, Al-Mar’ah wal-Islam, and Dar al-Kitab al-Lubnan: say: “God established the superiority of men over women by the above verse (i.e., Sura 4:34) which prevents the equating of men and women. For here [Sura 4:34 in the *Holy Koran*] man is above the woman due to his *intellectual superiority* and his *ability to administer* and spen on the woman.”

In the standard edition of the *Holy Hadith* by Sahih al-Bukhari—the most holy book after the *Koran*—you find a quotation of Mohammed recorded where that epileptic, illiterate, fornicating, polygamist said, “I have not seen anyone more *deficient in intelligence* and religion than you [he was addressing some *women* at the time]. A cautious, sensible man could be led astray by some of you.”

The women then asked Allah’s “apostle”: “What is deficient in our intelligence and religion?” Mohammed replied: “Is not the evidence of two men equal to the witness of one man [that’s in a court of law]?” The women said, “Yes.” Then Mohammed said, “This is the deficiency of your intelligence.”

Now that’s just a small sample. A Caliph named Omar was talking one time, and his wife started to say

something while he was talking. He said: "You are a toy; if you are needed we will call you."

Another Caliph ('Amru Bin al-'Aas) said: "Women are toys, so choose." The old fornicating egomaniac (*Mohammed* himself), while shacking up with a nine-year-old girl and his daughter-in-law, said: "The woman is a toy; whoever takes her let him care for her or (do not lose her)." You say, "Why?" Because she "is a toy."

Do you ladies appreciate that? Do you? How would that pass with Hillary Clinton?

One "hadith" given by the Moslem scholar Ghazali says that when any woman goes outside of her house "*the devil welcomes her.*"

"Women's rights" are defined by Mohammed, who is quoted as saying "When a man calls his wife to satisfy his desire, let her come to him though she is occupied at the oven." Mohammed also said, "Whenever a man calls his wife to his bed and she *refuses* [that's one wife out of four! He allowed every man four wives] and then he passes the night in an angry mood, the *angels curse her* till she gets up at dawn."

The Mohammedan Imams, who teach as doctrine what Mohammed is supposed to have said, teach that "there are three (persons) whose prayer will *not* be accepted." One is a runaway slave, one is a drunk, and one is any "woman with whom her husband is dissatisfied" (*Holy Hadith*, Mishkat al-Masabih, Book I, No. ii, 74).

According to the *Holy Hadith* (Mishkat al-Masabih, Book I, No. ii, 60), when any woman dies, if her husband was "pleased with her," she'll get into Paradise. Mohammed is quoted as saying to some woman, "Watch how you treat your husband for he is *your Paradise* and *your Hell.*"

That was Allah's loony "prophet" who recited that nonsense to a bunch of Moslems. If you want to see Islam

“in the raw,” then read a hadith quoted by Ahmad Zaky Tuffaha in 1985: “If a woman offered one of her breasts to be *cooked* and the other to be *roasted*, she still will fall short of fulfilling her obligations to her husband. And besides that if she disobeys her husband even for a twinkling of an eye, she would be thrown in the lowest part of Hell, except she repents and turns back.” (That particular quotation is not mentioned in Bukhari, but it is consistent with the other passages quoted by Bukhari and the other *Hadiths*.)

There is your “rights,” ladies! Disobey your husband and down you go into the Lake of Fire. Let’s see you find *that* in the Bible.

The Moslem Egyptian scholar Suyuti’s opinion on the subject: “If blood, suppuration, and pus were to pour from her husband’s *nose* and the wife *licked it with her tongue*, she would still never be able to *fulfill HIS RIGHTS* over her.” That’s your “rights,” ladies. You have a right to suck your husband’s nose. (Don’t laugh! That’s a hadith quoted *five times* by an expert on Sharia law!)

In the “Islamic Nation,” any man may not only *beat* his wife, but he can *desert* his wife anytime he’s ready—according to the *Holy Koran* (Sura 4:34), not the *Holy Bible*. “Righteous women are therefore obedient . . . And those you fear MAY BE rebellious admonish; banish them to their couches, and *beat them*.” That verse was revealed to Mohammed when a woman complained to him about her husband slapping her.

The *Hadith* tries to lighten the beating by making it unlike the “whipping of a slave”; it must be “a beating without causing *injury*” (Mishkat al-Masabih, Book I, nos. 50, 76). So your husband has a right to beat you, sister. One of the translators of the Mishkat al-Masabih made this commentary: “beating the wife mildly is ‘allowed in four cases: 1) When she does not wear fineries though

wanted by her husband, 2) When she is called for sexual intercourse and she refuses without any lawful excuse, 3) When she is ordered to take a bath (to clean herself) from impurities for prayer and she refuses, and 4) When she goes abroad [i.e., *she steps outside the house*] without permission of her husband.”

That’s your Islamic Nation. That will be Jerusalem if the Palestinians ever get in it. That is the fastest growing religion in America, France, and England. *That* is “the FAITH of Islam.”

“In 1987, an Egyptian court, following an interpretation of the *Koran* . . . ruled that a husband had a duty to educate his wife,” which meant he had “the right to *punish her as he wished*.” All Moslems agree that any man has the right to *desert* his wife sexually—that is, “defraud” her according to 1 Corinthians 7:4–5. He can also beat her if he *thinks* she is “rebellious.”

Moslem men can marry up to four women, and then after they are legitimately married to four women, they can have *free sexual intercourse with an unlimited number of slave girls*. The material is found in Sura 4:3.

In regards to Mohammed himself, he said as long as a husband can treat four women “fairly,” he can marry them; if he can’t, then he shouldn’t (Sura 4:3 cf. Sura 4:129). Some of the Arabians say the number wasn’t four, it was nine, because two plus three plus four make nine; one thing is sure, he can shack up with as many *slaves* as he can get his hands on *while going to bed with four different wives*. When Mohammed died, he himself left nine widows. However, the documentary evidence on Mohammed’s marriages shows he was married (supposedly legally) to fourteen different women and then went to bed with the eleven slave girls “in between.”

Bukhari, when reporting this, said, “The Prophet used to pass (have sexual relations with) all his wives in one

night, and at that time he had nine wives (Vol. VII, no. 142; Vol. I, no. 268). Mohammed explained this by saying—fine, humble fellow that he was—that Allah had given him “‘the *power of forty men*’” when it came to sexual intercourse (Mohammad Ibn Saad, *al-Tabakat al-Kobra*, Dar al-Tahrir, Cairo, 1970, Vol. 8, pg. 139). That is, from a medical standpoint, he was a *sex maniac*. His other excuse was that one of his companions had “‘four wives, and seventeen slave girls,’” while some of his “‘companions had three and four wives, and those who had two wives were countless in number.’”

How would any sane man explain this sex-crazy, fornicating adulterer’s fanatical obsession with sex? Well, Ghazali (see pg. 103) said that the reason for all Moslems following Mohammed’s pattern of a fornicating polygamist and getting themselves four wives at the same time was: “‘Some men have such a compelling *sexual desire* that one woman is not sufficient to *protect* them (from *adultery*).’”

Imagine one of the greatest and most respected Moslem scholars saying that: men should be allowed to marry more than one woman (and may fornicate with any number of them as long as they are slaves) to keep themselves from committing adultery. In the New Testament, every Moslem is committing adultery every time he switches wives (Matt. 19:9) or even lusts when looking at a woman (Matt. 5:28). When you American women who want “‘women’s rights” find yourself sharing your marriage bed with three other women to protect your “‘sweetie” from “‘committing adultery,” what will you complain about then?

That’s the “‘Islamic Nation”—all forty of them and all 900 million of their citizens. Those are the *Koranic laws* that come from believing Mohammed was quoting a book he never saw or read. The Sharias say that “‘if a man purchases a slave girl, the purchase contract includes his

right to have sex (i.e., *fornicate*) with her. This "contract" is primarily to *own her* and secondary to enjoy her sexually"—along with his other four wives.

Then every man has a right to divorce his wife for *any reason*. Bukhari in his *Holy Hadith* says a Moslem can "say to his brother (in Islam), "Have a look at either of my wives (and if you wish), I will *divorce her for you* (Vol. VII, no. 10).'"

Here you have the "son of 'Omar" who reports as follows: "I had a wife under me whom I loved but whom 'Omar disliked. He told me: "Divorce her." But *I refused*. Then 'Omar came to the Messenger of Allah [meaning Mohammed—the sex-obsessed neurotic who couldn't control his gonads] and notified it to him. The Messenger of Allah told me "Divorce her" (*Holy Hadith*, Mishkat al-Masabih, Book I, no. 15).'" That's how she was divorced. When you obey Mohammed, you obey God. So Mohammed said that when 'Omar divorced his wife, he obeyed "God." He had no grounds for divorce at all.

That's the "Islamic Nation." Its true name is "Mohammedanism." It's dogmatic doctrinal faith lies in obeying an illiterate, sex-crazy, epileptic, fornicating killer, and pretending while you are doing it *that you are obeying "God"* ("THE GOD"—Allah).

Here is a typical explanation of a fornicating military dictator: "A woman does not give trouble to her husband in this world but his wife of the pure-eyed virgin ones [the woman's competition] does not say to her: "Do not give him trouble. May Allah destroy you. He is only a passing guest with you, and it is very near that he will soon *leave you to come to us*" (*Holy Hadith*, Mishkat al-Masabih, Book I, no. 62).'" (The virgins in paradise all prophesy they will be shacking up with *your husband when you and he get to Paradise!*)

How are you "women libbers" getting along with this



“fastest spreading religion”? Pretty good? Like it, do you?

To the “tradition” (see Col. 2:8) given above, the deceived, egomaniacal Moslem jackass who edited the English translation of the Mishkat added: “‘No woman should give *trouble* and anxiety to her husband. She is to give him ease and comfort in the household. If she acts otherwise, she will not be able to be his mate in Paradise. There the pure-eyed virgin girls will be his *consorts*.’” This means that the “pure-eyed virgins” could only be for *single men* who never got married or for men whose wives gave them trouble! The *Koran* promises every saved MALE 72 virgins in Paradise. *No female gets any males for herself.*

You hear a lot of jokes about that. Mohammed’s “Paradise” should be joked about. According to the “*Holy*” *Koran*, your 72 virgins are going to have eyes wide and large, breasts swelling firm and not sagging (Sura 44:51–54, 55:56–58, 72, 78:33). Then the “*Holy*” *Hadith* (understand this is “*Holy*.” Don’t make fun of it, or you’ll get shot, ladies!) says: “‘In Paradise . . . every *person* [Mohammed slipped there; he meant to say every MALE] would have two wives (so beautiful) that the marrow of their shanks would glimmer beneath the flesh and there would be none [Mohammed blew it again; he meant to say NO MAN—he just got a little confused] without a wife in Paradise (Sahih Muslim, nos. 6793–6796).’” The commentator Ibn Kathir says when commenting on Sura 56:35–37 that all 72 virgins will be wives, and that the fellow’s earthly wives may or may not be two of those 72 “virgin” wives. These “virgins” are especially created so that they can never get pregnant, and in spite of the fact that they have their virginity taken from them every time the guy goes to bed with them, they REMAIN VIRGINS. Oh my God, what a “*HOLY*” religion.

Here is the *Holy Hadith* (Mishkat al-Masabih, Book

IV, chap. XLII, no. 24) saying: "The Holy Prophet [i.e., Mohammed—the fornicating killer] said: "The believer [just the MEN, not the women!] will be given such and such strength in Paradise for *sexual intercourse*.'" Some silly ass who believed the *Koran* questioned Mohammed about this. The blind, stupid, sex-crazed "prophet" said, "He will be given the strength of *one hundred persons* [he meant *men*]." They will have the same sexual strength as 100 men have.

There is a well known commentary on the *Koran* entitled Al-Jalalayn. In its comments on Sura 56:35–37, 36:55, it says that the "rejoicing" of "The inhabitants [it should have read MEN] of Paradise today are busy in their rejoicing . . . includes the taking of the virginity of women in Paradise." Ghazali quotes an early Islamic scholar named al-'Ouaza'i as saying: "Busy in their rejoicing" means *busy taking the virginity of the virgins*." Another commentator named Ibn 'Abbas said that the "rejoicing" was "enjoying taking the virginity of the virgins."

I saw a cartoon of a Moslem getting to Paradise, and at the gate he met two dozen *Nuns* standing there with shotguns in their hands. The caption has one of the nuns saying, "Mohammed forgot to tell you *what kind* of 'virgins' you were going to meet!"

Another joke about this ridiculous Islamic "paradise" is that when the Moslem got to Paradise, he met Thomas Jefferson who beat him up. Then he met George Washington who beat him up. Then he met James Madison, James Monroe, William Henry Harrison, Zachary Taylor, and 66 other men who all "waled the tar" out of him. Lying there on the ground bruised and bloodied, the Moslem wants to know what the deal was. George Washington pipes up and says, "Didn't Mohammed say you were going to get 72 Virginians?"

You say, "You could be *assassinated* for telling that joke." Of course I could. How else would a demon-possessed, sex-crazy killer respond? All egomaniacal, sex-obsessed neurotics are so controlled by their animal instincts that any of them would kill *anyone* who opposed their "religious convictions." That's what they are getting ready to do in "paradise": fornicate and rape chaste virgins. That is "paradise" for a male Mohammedan. He will merely be doing what Mohammed told him to do, and the *Koran* says that when you obey Mohammed, you are obeying Allah (Sura 4:80).

When you ladies in America get into the "Islamic Nation," you will find that your husband "has the right to prevent [you] from caring for [your child] from a *previous marriage*," and that your husband "has the right to refuse [you] *daily maintenance*." Further, you are to believe that there are more women in Hell than men because Mohammed said so. The *Holy Hadith* says, "Amongst the inmates of Paradise the women would form the minority" (Muslim, chap. MCXL, no. 6600). What? With 72 female virgins for each male Moslem that got there? There must not be very many male Moslems that got there.

The *Holy Hadith* says, "Had it not been for women, God would have truly, truly been worshipped" (Kanz-el-'Ummal, Vol. 21, no. 825). Mohammed said, "There is no calamity I fear on my nation *more* than women and wine" (Kanz-el-'Ummal, Vol. 21, no. 829). The *Holy Hadith* says, "Men perished the day they obeyed the women" (Kanz-el-'Ummal, Vol. 21, no. 831). I suppose that is when Abraham was told to obey Sarah (Gen. 21:12). Or was it when Barak obeyed Deborah (Judg. 4:6)? If Pilate had obeyed his wife (Matt. 27:19), he might have wound up in "Paradise" with the saved thief instead of in Hell with Judas.

Now if you want to see Mohammed at the peak of

his pure, moral character as the "holy messenger" of God, you should obtain a copy of the book I mentioned earlier (*Women in Islam*) and look at the Appendix in the back which goes into a detailed chronology of Mohammed's marriages and his wives. It is more than apparent that what you are dealing with here is a man that is so fleshy, materialistic, self-centered, and carnal that by the standards of the New Testament, he'd be classified as a *demon-possessed sex maniac*.

Among other things that you learn about his wives is that Mohammed used to allot his days to go to bed with only eight of his nine wives. The ninth wife whom he defrauded (see 1 Cor. 7:5) was a woman named Sawda (also spelled Sauda); Sawda gave her "day for going to bed" to Aisha—the nine-year-old girl with whom Mohammed fornicated. There is great discussion among Mohammed's biographers as to whether Mohammed actually did divorce Sawda or only wanted to but didn't. Ibn Kathir writes: "Sauda feared that the Prophet of Allah might divorce her," so she gave up her day for intercourse to Aisha.

One thing is clearer than a plate glass window, Mohammed—the epileptic, fornicating killer—wanted to dump the oldest woman (Sawda bint Zam'ah) because she became *old* and couldn't attract him like the nine-year-old girl (Aisha). The nine-year-old girl became the "love of his life," so all the old ladies had to "wait their turn." The only reason he had married Sawda to begin with was to make her "the servant of the daughters of the prophet . . . [she] cooked, washed, mended, [and] served the prophet and his daughters."

Now, in spite of this wretched, *unholy* conduct, all Moslems (sane and insane) believe that Mohammed was the best husband a woman could be married to, and he possessed his fourteen wives with "highest moral excel-

lence” (Sura 68:4), so he should be a “*role model*” for all young men. I guess that would explain why it is the “fastest growing religion in the world.” That could be the *only* reason.

The Holy Scriptures describes it this way: “**having eyes full of ADULTERY, and that cannot cease from sin; beguiling unstable souls . . . cursed children**” (2 Pet. 2:14).

Now this brief sampling of “*holy*” Islam by Moslems and their “*holy*” books should give you some idea of what “women’s rights” are in Islam. Your husband has been told, in all editions of the *Koran*, that if he follows Mohammed’s example and obeys *him*, that he is obeying *God* (Sura 4:80).

In a work entitled *The True Face of Islam* (publication information not available), the author relates three stories from the first biography ever written about Mohammed: *The Life of Muhammad* by Ibn Ishaq, a devout Moslem Arab. His work, along with the various versions of the “*holy*” *Hadith*, compose the practice and sayings of Mohammed by which all Moslems are supposed to live. All three stories involve Mohammed having someone *murdered* for making fun of him.

A 120-year-old Jewish man named Abu Afale wrote a poem critical of Mohammed. Allah’s “holy Prophet” had him slain by a “weeper” named Salim iba Umayr. When a Jewish woman named Asmaa bint Marwan heard about it, she composed her own poem against Mohammed and recited it. When Mohammed said “Who will rid me of Marwan’s daughter,” a Moslem assassin took him up on it and broke into her house that night, to where she was suckling her child on her bed. He snatched the baby away and thrust her through the belly with his sword. The next day he came to Mohammed and told him what he had done. “Fearing the consequence of his crime, [the killer]

asked Mohammed, 'Will there be any danger to me on her account?' Mohammed answered, 'Two goats will not butt each other about her.'" And of course, we have already related how Fatima (known as Umm Kirfa) was torn to pieces by camels (see pp. 82–83).

The real spiritual morality of Mohammed's rotten life is illustrated by the fact that when Moslem assassins cut off the heads of their victims, they would bring them to Mohammed. When Mohammed saw the killers bearing their "trophies" of Allah's triumph over *Mohammed's* enemies, the "Prophet" would jump up and holler "Allah Akbar!" ("God is great!") *Meaning who?* According to Sura 4:80, the great one was Mohammed himself, for if you obeyed Mohammed in cutting off people's heads, you were doing what Allah wanted you to do, so the people who did it for Mohammed's convenience were obeying God. "God is great."

So much for "women's rights" and obeying "God" in the "Islamic Nation." In the great New Age, they are part of our "global family" that you are to respect, and you are to honor their "faith"—at least according to Pope Benedict VI, Pope John Paul II, Billy Graham, and the CFR.

## Epilogue

The “Allah” of Moses, David, and Jeremiah listed Israel’s enemies in Psalm 83 and said He would destroy the inhabitants of Transjordan, Saudi Arabia, Lebanon, and Iraq. These are the Twenty-first Century enemies that David’s “Allah” said He would wipe out. Today, they are all Moslems. According to Jeremiah 30:11; Isaiah 60, 63, 65–66; Zechariah 12–14, Allah is going to kill them. But Mohammed’s “Allah” either forgot what he had just written in the Psalms, Jeremiah, the Torah, Isaiah, and Zechariah; or else He changed His mind after swearing He was telling the truth (Num. 23:19).

After Paul wrote that God would restore Israel someday (Rom. 11:25–27) and save the whole nation (Heb. 8:8–13) after the dispersion, up shows Allah’s “prophet” and says that Allah turned the Jews into rats, pigs, and monkeys (*Holy Hadith*, Vol. IV, no. 524, p. 333) and then threw them away permanently, never to be restored. The Imams and Ulamas all teach the *Koran* that way, and they all say that the whole world will become one “Islamic Nation” with all nations in subjection to them (Moslems).

You say, “That’s subjection to Allah.” No, you’re 100% wrong because the Allah of Mohammed said in the *Koran* that if you obeyed Mohammed, you were obeying “God” (Allah). That’s in every edition of the *Koran* in Sura 4:80. According to all teachers of the *Koran*, the entire UN becomes subject to Mohammed. They will be in subjection to Mohammed and Allah. You Jews, Christians, Hindus, and Buddhists are to obey Allah and Mohammed.

If Mohammed’s crescent moon god was the real “Al-

lah," the "God and Father of Jesus Christ" was a liar (see Psa. 105:6-11; Rom. 11:25-27; Jer. 30:11; Isa. 60:12; Zeph. 3:8) and a blasphemer (John 5:19-30, 8:58, 10:30, 14:9). If "the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob" was the real "Allah," then Islam's Allah was the biggest, most hypocritical, ignorant, religious liar who ever damned a billion sinners. Take your pick.

**"By their fruits ye shall know them"** (Matt. 7:20).

**"Choose you this day whom ye will serve"** (Josh. 24:15).

**"No man can serve two masters"** (Luke 16:13).

When the REAL "Allah" finally stands up, it will be much too late (Psa. 2; Isa. 63; Joel 2; Rev. 14, 19; Matt. 25) to make any "choice" (Phil. 2:9-12; Psa. 2; Zech. 14).





**Here are two Gods, the God of the Christians and “the God” (Allah) of the Moslems. Billy Graham and the Pope said they are the same God. If the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob is “the God” of the “Islamic Nation,” then surely they would be in agreement. Wouldn’t it be interesting to put these two Gods alongside one another and see if they are the *same God*? Will the real Allah please stand up?**

ISBN 1-58026-311-9

RK-139



9 781580 263115